ARTHROPOD SYSTEMATICS & PHYLOGENY

© Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, 2018

Plucking with the plectrum: phylogeny of the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 (Scorpiones: Buthidae) reveals evolution of three pecten-sternite stridulation organs

Lauren A. Esposito ^{*,1,2,3}, Humberto Y. Yamaguti⁴, Ricardo Pinto-da-Rocha⁴ & Lorenzo Prendini¹

¹ Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY 10024, U.S.A.; Lauren A. Esposito * [lesposito@calacademy.org] — ² Graduate School and University Center, City University of New York, 365 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10016, U.S.A. — ³ California Academy of Sciences, 55 Music Concourse Drive, San Francisco, CA 94118, U.S.A. — ⁴ Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, Travessa 14, nº 321, Caixa Postal 11461, CEP 05422-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil — * Corresponding author

Accepted 03.i.2018.

Published online at www.senckenberg.de/arthropod-systematics on 30.iv.2018. Editors in charge: Stefan Richter & Klaus-Dieter Klass

Abstract. All New World buthid scorpions except one South American genus, Ananteris Thorell, 1891, comprise a monophyletic group. The monophyly of two subfamilies, Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 (= Rhopalurusinae Bücherl, 1971) and Tityinae Bücherl, 1971, proposed to accommodate a subset of these genera, has never been tested. The genera accommodated within Centruroidinae are diverse and poorly defined. Prior to the research presented here, Rhopalurus Thorell, 1876 had a disjunct distribution in the Greater Antilles, the Guiana Shield of northern South America, and northeastern Brazil, where Physoctonus Mello-Leitão, 1934 and Troglorhopalurus Lourenço et al., 2004 also occur. The generic distinction between Rhopalurus and Centruroides Marx, 1890, the most speciose genus of Centruroidinae, distributed from the midwestern United States to northern South America, and throughout the Caribbean, was also unclear. Previous studies suggested Centruroides was paraphyletic with respect to Rhopalurus and vice versa. The study presented here, the first rigorous test of the monophyly of Centruroidinae and its component genera, is based on 90 morphological characters and 4,260 aligned base-pairs of DNA sequence from three mitochondrial and two nuclear DNA loci for 102 terminal taxa, representing 24 species in seven ingroup genera, and nine species in three outgroup genera. Molecular and morphological data, analyzed separately and simultaneously, yielded congruent results. Centruroidinae was monophyletic whereas Tityinae was paraphyletic. Centruroides was monophyletic whereas Rhopalurus was paraphyletic, comprising several monophyletic groups congruent with its disjunct distribution. The results of this analysis justify the redefinition of Rhopalurus and Troglorhopalurus, the revalidation of Heteroctenus Pocock, 1893, and the recently created genera Ischnotelson Esposito et al., 2017 and Jaguajir Esposito et al., 2017. The phylogeny indicates that three distinct types of pecten-sternite stridulation organ evolved in Heteroctenus, Jaguajir and Rhopalurus.

Key words. New World, savannah, Arachnida, Rhopalurus, systematics.

1. Introduction

The family Buthidae C.L. Koch, 1837, comprising 89 genera and approximately 1,110 described species of extant scorpions, is distributed worldwide and includes most of the world's medically important scorpions. Although the intrafamilial phylogenetic relationships of Buthidae remain largely untested, there is general agreement that all New World buthids except one genus occurring in South America, *Ananteris* Thorell, 1891 (*Microananteris* Lourenço, 2003 was justifiably synonymized with *Ananteris* by BOTERO-TRUJILLO & NORIEGA 2011), comprise a monophyletic group, referred to hereafter as the "New World buthids" (CODDINGTON et al. 2004). This diverse



87



Fig. 1. Male pedipalp chela, retrolateral aspect, and movable finger, dorsal aspect of species in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955, illustrating shapes and denticle rows. A: *Physoctonus debilis* (C.L. Koch, 1840). B: *Physoctonus striatus* Esposito et al., 2017. C, D: *Jaguajir rochae* (Borelli, 1910). E: *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* (Lenarducci et al., 2005). F: *Tityus serrulatus* Lutz & Mello, 1922.

group, originally named Centrurini Kraepelin, 1891, is endemic to the New World and comprises approximately 398 described species in 14 genera: *Alayotityus* Armas, 1973; *Centruroides* Marx, 1890; *Chaneke* Francke et al., 2014; *Heteroctenus* Pocock, 1893; *Ischnotelson* Esposito et al., 2017; *Jaguajir* Esposito et al., 2017; *Mesotityus* Gonzalez-Sponga, 1981; *Microtityus* Kjellesvig-Waering, 1966; *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934; *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876; *Tityopsis* Armas, 1974; *Tityus* C.L. Koch, 1836; *Troglorhopalurus* Lourenço et al., 2004; *Zabius* Thorell, 1893.

The monophyly of New World buthids, excluding *Ananteris*, is supported by evidence from multiple independent sources. Comparative morphological studies of



Fig. 2. Male metasoma, dorsal aspect, of species in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955, illustrating posterior widening. A: *Rhopalurus ochoai* Esposito et al., 2017. B: *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* (Lenarducci et al., 2005). C: *Jaguajir pintoi* (Mello-Leitão, 1932).

pedipalp trichobothrial patterns (FET et al. 2005), book lungs (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008), and ovariuterine structure (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008) revealed potential synapomorphies, toxicological studies demonstrated the presence of a unique toxin class (ß toxins), absent in other buthids (FROY et al. 1999), and phylogenetic analyses of transcriptomes (SHARMA et al. 2015) and nuclear and/or mitochondrial DNA sequences recovered its monophyly (FET et al. 2003a; CODDINGTON et al. 2004; BORGES & GRAHAM 2016; OJANGUREN-AFFILASTRO et al. 2017).

Although the monophyly of New World buthids has never been contested, there is less agreement about the names applied to the clade. BÜCHERL (1971) proposed two subfamilies to accommodate the four genera of New World buthids recognized at the time. Rhopalurinae, comprising *Centruroides* and *Rhopalurus*, was defined by the presence of pro- and retrolateral accessory (or supernumerary) denticles in the median denticle rows of the pedipalp chela fingers (Fig. 1B,D), whereas Tityinae Bücherl, 1971, comprising *Tityus* and *Zabius*, was defined by their absence (Fig. 1F). A great deal of confusion has surrounded the nomenclature for the New World buthid clade containing the genera *Centruroides* and *Rhopalurus*. KRAEPELIN (1891) first proposed Centrurini, subsequently emended to Centrurinae (KRAEPE- Ehrenberg, 1828 of family Scorpionidae Latreille, 1802. Centruroides Marx, 1891 was meanwhile proposed, not as a replacement name for Centrurus, but for Buthus exilicauda Wood, 1863, a North American species, and another species that was named but not described (FET & LOWE 2000: 98). The name *Centrurus* was incorrectly used for many years to denote species of Centruroides because of an erroneous understanding and placement of Centrurus. Centruroidinae was considered a nomen nudum because it was first proposed after 1930 and ROEWER (1943) omitted a description from the designation, hence FET & LOWE (2000: 57) suggested Rhopalurinae should be used instead. FET et al. (2003b) emended Rhopalurinae to Rhopalurusinae to avoid homonymy. Esposito et al. (2017), following FET & LOWE (2000) and FET et al. (2003b), used Rhopalurusinae. Soon after, ARMAS (2017) synonymized Rhopalurusinae with Centruroidinae and considered KRAUS (1955) the author of the latter, based on a brief description published in a footnote. Following arguments set forth in more detail in Appendix 1, the valid subfamily name is Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955, and the names Rhopalurinae and Rhopalurusinae, are junior synonyms thereof. On the other hand, Centruri-

LIN 1899), on Centrurus Ehrenberg, 1829. KRAEPELIN

(1894) later synonymized Centrurus with Heterometrus



Fig. 3. Sternite III, ventral aspect, illustrating *pars stridens* of pecten-sternite stridulation organs of species in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. **A**: *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* (Lenarducci et al., 2005), ♂ (MZSP). **B**: *Jaguajir agamemnon* (C.L. Koch, 1839), ♀ (MZSP). **C**: *Heteroctenus junceus* (Herbst, 1800), ♀ (AMNH). **D**: *Rhopalurus ochoai* Esposito et al., 2017, holotype ♂ (AMNH).

nae is synonymous with Scorpionidae not Buthidae, as it is based on *Centrurus*, an available junior synonym of *Heterometrus* (BRAUNWALDER & FET 1998; FET 2000; FET & LOWE 2000). *Centrurus* is not a senior synonym of *Centruroides* or a *nomen nudum* as FRANCKE (1985) suggested (see also ICZN 1986: 144, 145).

Additional genera, described after the creation of these subfamilies, were not formally accommodated within them. No subfamilial classification of Buthidae is in widespread use (FET & LOWE 2000) as the monophyly of buthid subfamilies has never been rigorously tested. Four studies, each using a different data source and taxon sample, and in each case representing a very small sample of the taxonomic diversity within the family, recovered different results (FET et al. 2003a; SHARMA et al. 2015; Borges & Graham 2016; Ojanguren-Affilastro et al. 2017). It has long been suspected that the group of taxa herein referred to Centruroidinae may be monophyletic, however, due to the presence of accessory denticles in the median denticle rows of the pedipalp chela fingers, unique to these taxa among Buthidae (SISSOM 1990).

Prior to the research presented here, the genera accommodated within Centruroidinae were also poorly defined. The 'club-tailed' scorpions of the genus Rhopalurus and the related genera, Physoctonus and Troglorhopalurus, were a morphologically heterogeneous group of mostly large-bodied, often strikingly colored scorpions, usually with a broad metasoma that becomes noticeably wider posteriorly and an incrassate pedipalp chela in the adult male (Figs. 1, 2). Rhopalurus comprised 23 species and two subspecies whereas Physoctonus and Troglorhopalurus were monotypic (Table 1). The unique ability to stridulate audibly by scraping nodules and/or ridges on the dorsal surfaces of the pectinal teeth against granules on the ventral surfaces of mesosomal sternite III (Figs. 3-5), a remarkable behavior that presumably functions to deter would-be predators (POCOCK 1904; LOURENÇO & CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON 1995; LOURENÇO 2007; PRENDINI et al. 2009), was considered synapomorphic for Rhopalurus by LOURENÇO (1986) although this had not been tested quantitatively.

Physoctonus, long regarded a junior synonym of *Rho-palurus* (FRANCKE 1977), was recently resurrected (LOU-



Fig. 4. Dextral pecten, ventral aspect (A, C, E, G), and pectinal teeth, dorsal aspect (B, D, F), illustrating *plectrum* of pecten-sternite stridulation organs of species in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. **A**: *Heteroctenus junceus* (Herbst, 1800), \mathcal{J} (AMNH). **B**: *Heteroctenus bonettii* (Armas, 1999), \mathcal{Q} (AMNH). **C**: *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876, \mathcal{J} (MZSP). **D**: *Jaguajir rochae* (Borelli, 1910), (AMNH). **E**: *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876, \mathcal{J} (AMCC [LP 2845]). **F**: *Troglorhopalurus lacrau* (Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997), \mathcal{Q} (AMCC [LP 3260]). **G**: *Physoctonus debilis* (C.L. Koch, 1840), \mathcal{Q} (MZSP).

RENÇO 2007), whereas *Heteroctenus*, the name once applied to the Antillean species of *Rhopalurus*, remained in synonymy. As defined at the outset of this research, *Rhopalurus* was distributed in the Greater Antilles (Cuba and Hispaniola), the Guiana Shield of northern South America (Colombia, the Guianas, and Venezuela) and northeastern Brazil, where *Physoctonus* and *Troglorhopalurus* also occur (Fig. 6; FET et al. 2000; TERUEL 2006; LOURENÇO 2008; PRENDINI et al. 2009; TERUEL & RON-CALLO 2008; SANTIAGO-BLAY 2009), but the monophyly of its disjunct components had not been tested quantitatively, either.

The generic distinction between *Rhopalurus* and *Centruroides* was also unclear (ESPOSITO et al. 2017). *Centruroides* is the most speciose genus of Centruroidinae, comprising 91 described species and three subspecies, distributed from the midwestern United States to northern South America (Colombia, Venezuela, Ecuador, and possibly Peru) and throughout the Caribbean (Fig. 6; HOFFMANN 1932; GANTENBEIN et al. 2001; SISSOM &

LOURENÇO 1987), Historically, several species had been transferred between Centruroides and Rhopalurus, and the generic definitions revised multiple times (POCOCK 1890; WERNER 1939; MEISE 1934; MELLO-LEITÃO 1945; LOURENÇO 1979). Centruroides were separated from Rhopalurus by the following combination of characters: pedipalp chela fixed finger trichobothrium db aligned with or proximal to trichobothrium et, fifth metasomal segment elongated in adult males, and the absence of a pecten-sternite stridulation organ. However, trichobothrial positions and the length of the fifth metasomal segment are interspecifically variable within both genera, and the absence of a stridulation organ is probably plesiomorphic. A phylogenetic analysis of Cuban scorpions based on a single mitochondrial gene locus (16S rDNA) recovered Centruroides paraphyletic with respect to Rhopalurus (FET et al. 2003a) whereas ovariuterine data suggested Rhopalurus was paraphyletic with respect to Centruroides (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008). A better understanding of the systematic limits and diagnosis of Centruroides is



Fig. 5. Pectinal teeth, dorsal aspect, fine structure, illustrating *plectrum* of pecten-sternite stridulation organs of species in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. **A**: *Jaguajir agamemnon* (C.L. Koch, 1839), (MZSP). **B**: *Heteroctenus princeps* (Karsch, 1879), \mathcal{J} (AMNH). **C**. *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876, (MZSP). **D**: *Troglorhopalurus lacrau* (Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997), \mathcal{Q} (MZSP). **E**: *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* (Lenarducci et al., 2005), \mathcal{J} (MZSP). **F**: *Physoctonus debilis* (C.L. Koch, 1840), \mathcal{Q} (MZSP).

of considerable medical importance because the genus includes the only dangerously venomous scorpions in North America, among them eight species responsible for lethal envenomations in humans (DEHESA-DAVILA & POSSANI 1994; CHÁVEZ-HARO & ORTIZ 2015).

The taxonomy of species previously assigned to Rhopalurus was in a similar state of disarray when this research began. Mostly large and colorful, and often with the ability to stridulate audibly, these charismatic scorpions have attracted considerable attention. In the past decade alone, several publications proposed taxonomic changes and described new species (LENARDUCCI et al. 2005; TERUEL 2006; TERUEL & ARMAS 2006, 2012; LOURENÇO 2007, 2008, 2014; TERUEL & RONCALLO 2008, 2013; TERUEL & TIETZ 2008; PRENDINI et al. 2009; SANTI-AGO-BLAY 2009; FLÓREZ 2012), often increasing, rather than decreasing, the taxonomic confusion. For example, Rhopalurus caribensis Teruel & Roncallo, 2008, Rhopalurus crassicauda Caporiacco, 1947 and Rhopalurus pintoi Mello-Leitão, 1932 were each synonymized and then resurrected. The validity of R. crassicauda, its two subspecies, and Rhopalurus virkki Santiago-Blay, 2009 was questioned by several authors (PRENDINI et al. 2009; TERUEL & ARMAS 2012).

The study presented here is the first rigorous test of the monophyly of Centruroidinae and its component taxa, based on phylogenetic analysis of 90 morphological characters and 4,260 aligned base-pairs of DNA sequence from three mitochondrial and two nuclear DNA loci for 102 terminal taxa, representing 24 species in seven ingroup genera, and nine species in three outgroup genera. The integration of morphological and genomic data provides the benefits of simultaneously testing alternative sources of evidence for the monophyly of Centruroidinae and its component genera, and recognizing diagnostic morphological synapomorphies for their identification. A revised classification of Centruroidinae (Table 2), as Rhopalurusinae, was presented by Esposito et al. (2017). The present study also investigates, for the first time, the evolution of the pecten-sternite stridulation organs of these scorpions, revealing that three distinct types evolved in Heteroctenus, Jaguajir and Rhopalurus.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Taxon sampling

The classification of Centruroidinae employed here follows Esposito et al. (2017) (Table 2). In order to test the monophyly of the subfamily and its component genera,



Fig. 6. Approximate distributions of genera in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955: A: *Centruroides* Marx, 1890 and *Heteroctenus* Pocock, 1893. B: *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 and *Troglorhopalurus* Lourenço et al., 2004. C: *Ischnotelson* Esposito et al., 2017 and *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934. D: *Jaguajir* Esposito et al., 2017.

as well as the validity of species previously assigned to Physoctonus, Rhopalurus, and Troglorhopalurus, samples were obtained from across the known distributions of as many current and previously recognized species and subspecies as possible, with an emphasis on obtaining material from the proximity of type localities to accurately assign names to populations (Appendix 2). The sample included topotypes of seven infrageneric taxa listed in synonymy by Esposito et al. (2017): Centrurus stenochirus Penther, 1913; Rhopalurus acromelas Lutz & Mello, 1922; Rhopalurus amazonicus Lourenço, 1986; Rhopalurus laticauda sachsii Karsch, 1879; Rhopalurus piceus Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997; Rhopalurus virkkii Santiago-Blay, 2009; Rhopalurus aridicola Teruel & Armas, 2012. Six exemplar species (PRENDINI 2001b) of Centruroides were selected to represent the taxonomic and geographical diversity of this speciose genus (Es-POSITO 2011).

Six infrageneric taxa, five of which were synonymized by ESPOSITO et al. (2017), were omitted from the ingroup because fresh material was unavailable for DNA extraction. Four of these taxa are restricted to Cuba and could not be obtained for study: *Heteroctenus gibarae* (Teruel, 2006) and its junior synonym, *Rhopalurus granulimanus* Teruel, 2006; *Rhopalurus melloleitaoi* Teruel & Armas, 2006, a junior synonym of *Heteroctenus junceus* (Herbst, 1800). *Rhopalurus brejo* Lourenço, 2014, known only from the holotype, is a junior synonym of *Troglorhopalurus lacrau* (Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997). *Rhopalurus crassicauda paruensis* Lourenço, 2008 and *Rhopalurus pintoi kourouensis* Lourenço, 2008 are junior synonyms of *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876 and *Jaguajir pintoi* (Mello-Leitão, 1932), respectively.

Exemplar species from two genera of Tityinae were included as outgroups: six species of *Tityus*, selected to represent four of its five subgenera (LOURENÇO 2006), and two of the three described species of *Zabius*. Trees were rooted on the cosmotropical buthid, *Isometrus maculatus* (DeGeer, 1778). The final taxon sample therefore comprised 24 ingroup species and nine outgroup species, considered satisfactory for testing the monophyly of Centruroidinae and polarizing the morphological characters of its component genera, the aims of this study. **Table 1.** Previous classification of the buthid scorpion species assigned to genera *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934, *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 and *Troglorhopalurus* Lourenço et al., 2004 with countries of distribution (PRENDINI et al. 2009; LOURENÇO 2014).

| Brazil |
|-----------------------------|
| Dominican Republic |
| Brazil |
| Brazil |
| Brazil |
| Cuba |
| Dominican Republic |
| Brazil |
| Colombia |
| Brazil, Guyana |
| Brazil |
| Cuba |
| Cuba |
| Cuba |
| Brazil |
| Cuba |
| Brazil |
| Colombia, Venezuela |
| Cuba |
| Brazil, Guyana |
| French Guiana |
| Dominican Republic, Haiti |
| Brazil |
| USA (Mona Is., Puerto Rico) |
| Brazil |
| |

Whereas DNA sequences were generated for multiple conspecific individuals of thirteen ingroup species, resulting in a final sample of 102 terminal taxa (Appendix 2), morphological characters were scored for only one terminal taxon per species (i.e., 33 terminal taxa) and extrapolated to all conspecific individuals in the simultaneous analyses with molecular data, because none of the morphological characters were assessed to be intraspecifically polymorphic (PRENDINI 2001b).

2.2. Morphological data

Twenty-seven morphological characters were adopted from published matrices on various scorpion taxa (LAM-ORAL 1978, 1980; JERAM 1994, 1998; PRENDINI 2000, 2001a, 2004; SOLEGLAD & SISSOM 2001; SOLEGLAD & FET 2001, 2003; VOLSCHENK et al. 2008), 33 from unpublished matrices (STOCKWELL 1989; E.S. Volschenk & L. Prendini unpublished data), and 30 new characters were added for a total of 90 characters, comprising 38 (43%) characters from the prosoma, 25 (33%) from the mesosoma, and 21 (23%) from the metasoma. 54% of the characters were derived from carination and surface macrosculpture, 21% from shape and morphometrics, 13% from macrosetae and trichobothria, 9% from internal and external anatomy, and 3% from coloration (Appendix 3).

Nomenclature follows HJELLE (1990) and SISSOM (1990), except for carapace, tergite and metasomal

Table 2. Revised classification (ESPOSITO et al. 2017) of the buthid scorpion species previously assigned to genera *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934, *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 and *Troglorhopalurus* Lourenço et al., 2004 with countries of distribution.

| Heteroctenus abudi (Armas & Marcano Fondeur, 1987) (= Rhopalurus virkkii Santiago-Blay, 2009) | Dominican Republic, USA (Mona Is., Puerto Rico) |
|--|---|
| Heteroctenus bonettii (Armas & Marcano Fondeur, 1987) | Dominican Republic |
| Heteroctenus garridoi (Armas, 1974) | Cuba |
| Heteroctenus gibarae (Teruel, 2006) (= Rhopalurus granulimanus Teruel, 2006) | Cuba |
| Heteroctenus junceus (Herbst, 1800) (= Rhopalurus melloleitaoi Teruel & Armas, 2006, Rhopalurus aridicola Teruel & Armas, 2012) | Cuba |
| Heteroctenus princeps (Karsch, 1879) | Dominican Republic, Haiti |
| Ischnotelson guanambiensis (Lenarducci et al., 2005) | Brazil |
| Ischnotelson peruassu Esposito et al., 2017 | Brazil |
| Jaguajir agamemnon (C.L. Koch, 1839) (= Rhopalurus acromelas Lutz & Mello, 1922) | Brazil |
| Jaguajir pintoi (Mello-Leitão, 1932) (= Rhopalurus pintoi kourouensis Lourenço, 2008) | Brazil, French Guiana, Guyana |
| Jaguajir rochae (Borelli, 1910) | Brazil |
| Physoctonus debilis (C.L. Koch, 1840) | Brazil |
| Physoctonus striatus Esposito et al., 2017 | Brazil |
| Rhopalurus caribensis Teruel & Roncallo, 2008 | Colombia |
| Rhopalurus laticauda Thorell, 1876 (<i>= Rhopalurus crassicauda</i> Caporiacco, 1947, Rhopalurus amazonicus Lourenço, 1986, Rhopalurus crassicauda paruensis Lourenço, 2008) | Brazil, Colombia, Venezuela |
| Rhopalurus ochoai Esposito et al., 2017 | Venezuela |
| <i>Troglorhopalurus lacrau</i> (Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997) (= <i>Rhopalurus brejo</i> Lourenço, 2014) | Brazil |
| Troglorhopalurus translucidus Lourenço et al., 2004 | Brazil |
| | |

carination (VACHON 1952), pedipalp carination (PREN-DINI 2001a), pedipalp trichobothria (VACHON 1974), ovariuterine anatomy (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008), and book lung ultrastructure (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008). Measurements follow STAHNKE (1970), LAMORAL (1979), and PRENDINI (2001a). Morphological examination of specimens (Appendix 3) was conducted using a Nikon SMZ1500 dissection stereomicroscope. Specimens were measured using Mitutoyo digital calipers and an ocular micrometer. The morphological matrix (Table 3) was assembled and scored in Mesquite v2.74 (MADDISON & MADDISON 2010).

2.3. Molecular data

Field-collected specimens were injected with and preserved in 95% ethanol, and stored at -20° C. Genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue dissected from the fourth leg using a Qiagen DNEasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit according the manufacturers protocols.

Extracted DNA was amplified for five gene loci, selected based on their ability to provide resolution at various taxonomic levels (ARNEDO et al. 2002; GIRIBET et al. 2001; HARRISON et al. 1987; HAYASHI 1996; HILLIS & DIX-ON 1991; WAHLBERG & ZIMMERMANN 2000), in overlap-

| gical characters (Appen red 0–5 or inapplicable amined is listed in Appe | dix 2) among ingroup and outgroup taxa for phylogenetic analysis of the New (-). Asterisk denotes material from populations referable to <i>Rhopalurus amaze</i> andix 1. | 0 00000 00000 00000 00000 44444 4444E FFFF FFFF |
|--|---|---|
| - | ogical characters (Appe ored 0–5 or inapplicabl kamined is listed in Ap | |

thid subfamily Centruroidiarenço, 1986 [= Rhopalurus

| micuna 110101, 10101, 10101, 101 | | | TT PANET | naddy i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Character numbers | 00000 12345 | 00001 67890 | 11111 12345 | 11112 67890 | 22222 12345 | 22223 67890 | 33333 12345 | 33334 67890 | 44444 12345 | 44445 67890 | 55555 12345 | 55556 67890 | 66666 6 12345 6 | 6667 7 7890 1 | 17777 12345 6 | 17778 8 57890 1 | 18888 12345 | 8889 7890 |
| Isometrus maculatus | 00010 | 10100 | 10001 | 11000 | 01100 | 00001 | 02200 | 00000 | 20050 | 00220 | 00110 | 11101 | 00101 2 | 1010 | 0112 0 | 01020 0 | 00100 | 1000 |
| Tityus atriventer | 00000 | 10111 | 10001 | 00020 | 30-00 | 00001 | 02201 | 01000 | 2110? | 10200 | 00001 | 11100 | 00100 | 1001 | 01100 | 10020 0 | 0012 3 | 2222 |
| Tityus bahiensis | 00000 | 10111 | 11000 | 00020 | 30111 | 10101 | 02201 | 01000 | 21100 | 01000 | 00000 | 11111 | 00001 2 | 1000 | 01100 | 10010 0 | 1011 2 | 0111 |
| Tityus clathratus | 01000 | 10111 | 10000 | 00020 | 31100 | 00001 | 02200 | 00000 | 21101 | 00220 | 00110 | 00000 | 10101 | 1000 | 01100 | 10020 0 | 0010 | 2111 |
| Tityus metuendus | 01000 | 10111 | 11000 | 00020 | 30111 | 10011 | 02200 | 00000 | 21101 | 01000 | 00110 | 11101 | 00101 2 | 1001 | 01100 | 10011 0 | 0011 3 | 2222 |
| Tityus smithii | 01000 | 10111 | 10000 | 00020 | 30000 | 10001 | 02200 | 00000 | 21101 | 00020 | 00110 | 11101 | 00101 | 1000 | 01100 | 0000 | 1100 3 | 2222 |
| Tityus riverai | 01000 | 10011 | 11001 | 11020 | 30011 | 10011 | 02200 | 00000 | 21110 | 01220 | 00110 | 11101 | 00101 2 | 1000; | 10100 | 10101 | 12-0 3 | 2222 |
| Zabius fuscus | 00000 | 11011 | 10000 | 00020 | 30011 | 01100 | 30201 | 11100 | 21050 | 01101 | 00001 | 11101 | 10110 0 | 0110 | 01111 0 | 0010 0 | 12-0 1 | 1111 |
| Zabius birabeni | 00000 | 11111 | 10000 | 00010 | 10-11 | 01100 | 30201 | 11100 | 2105? | 01101 | 00001 | 10101 | 10011 2 | 0010 0 | 1111 0 | 00100 | 12-2 3 | 2222 |
| Centruroides bani | 0011- | 10100 | 01010 | 00001 | 00000 | 10001 | 02210 | 20200 | 21000 | 00100 | 20000 | 11100 | 01001 2 | 1010 | 0112 0 | 01110 0 | 0100 3 | 2222 |
| Centruroides exilicauda | 1111- | 10100 | 00001 | 10001 | 01100 | 10001 | 02210 | 00000 | 21050 | 01200 | 20000 | 11100 | 01102 2 | 00100 | 10111 0 | 1110 1 | 12-0 0 | 0011 |
| Centruroides gracilis | 0111- | 10100 | 00010 | 00011 | 21100 | 10001 | 02210 | 10100 | 01000 | 01200 | 20000 | 11100 | 00101 | 0000 | 10112 0 | 01010 0 | 1001 0 | 0011 |
| Centruroides infamatus | 0100- | 10100 | 00101 | 10001 | 01100 | 10001 | 02210 | 10100 | 01000 | 01100 | 20000 | 11100 | 01001 2 | 1010 | 10111 0 | 01110 | 1100 3 | 2222 |
| Centruroides margaritatus | 00000 | 10100 | 00000 | 10011 | 10000 | 10001 | 11110 | 00000 | 01050 | 01100 | 20000 | 11101 | 10001 | 0000 | 01110 | 01010 0 | 1001 | 2011 |
| Centruroides schmidti | 01000 | -0100 | 00001 | 00101 | 01100 | 00001 | 02210 | 00000 | 21000 | 00100 | 20100 | 11101 | 02001 2 | 1001 | 11002 0 | 01020 1 | 1000 | 2011 |
| Heteroctenus abudi | 00000 | 10100 | 10111 | 10001 | 00111 | 10101 | 11111 | 01022 | 10040 | 00012 | 20110 | 11101 | 10011 2 | 1000 | 0110 | 0110 0 | 12-0 0 | 2220 |
| Heteroctenus bonettii | 01001 | 10000 | 10001 | 00001 | 10100 | 10101 | 11111 | 21222 | 10040 | 00012 | 20000 | 01000 | 01111 2 | 1000 | 0110 | 00010 0 | 12-0 3 | 2010 |
| Heteroctenus garridoi | 00000 | 10100 | 10111 | 10011 | 10111 | 10101 | 11110 | 10102 | 10020 | 00012 | 20000 | 11101 | 10111 2 | 1010 | 0110 | 00010 0 | 12-0 3 | ここここ |
| Heteroctenus princeps | 11001 | 10100 | 10111 | 10011 | 10122 | 10101 | 02010 | 10122 | 10030 | 00012 | 20010 | 11101 | 02111 2 | 1000 | 01100 | 10011 0 | 02-0 0 | 0011 |
| Heteroctonus junceus | 11011 | 10000 | 10101 | 10001 | 10122 | 10001 | 11111 | 01022 | 10030 | 00012 | 20110 | 10100 | 00011 2 | 1000 | 0110 | 20011 0 | 02-1 3 | 2010 |
| lschnotelson guanambiensis | 00000 | 00100 | 10000 | 10001 | 00122 | 00001 | 21011 | 01000 | 11000 | 00110 | 20101 | 10101 | 10110 | 1000 | 0110 | 20022 1 | 1000 | ここここ |
| lschnotelson peruassu | 00000 | 00100 | 10000 | 10001 | 00-11 | 00001 | 21011 | 01000 | 1000? | 00120 | 20101 | 10101 | 10011 | 1000 | 0110 | 20022 1 | 1002 3 | ささささ |
| Jaguajir agamemnon | 00000 | 10100 | 10000 | 10001 | 00122 | 10001 | 21011 | 01022 | 20030 | 10212 | 10000 | 11101 | 10010 | 1000 | 0110 | 20001 0 | 0001 3 | 2011 |
| Jaguajir pintoi | 00000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10011 | 30122 | 00001 | 21011 | 01022 | 20040 | 10212 | 10000 | 11101 | 001100 | 1000 | 0110 | 20001 0 | 0001 3 | ささささ |
| Jaguajir rochae | 00000 | 10100 | 10000 | 10001 | 00122 | 10101 | 21011 | 01022 | 20030 | 11212 | 10000 | 11101 | 10110 | 0010 0 | 0110 | 10001 | 1101 1 | 1011 |
| Physoctonus debilis | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 00102 | 01100 | 00001 | 21001 | 01000 | 21050 | 00220 | 01110 | 11101 | 00111 | 1000 | 00100 | 10111 0 | 0100 | ささささ |
| Physoctonus striatus | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 00102 | 01100 | 00001 | 11101 | 01000 | 21050 | 00220 | 01110 | 11101 | 00111 1 | 1000 | 00100 | 10111 0 | 0100 | ここここ |
| Rhopalurus caribensis | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 10001 | 10111 | 00001 | 21011 | 00002 | 21000 | 00202 | 20000 | 11101 | 00010 0 | 1000 | 0110 | 20101 0 | 0000 | ささささ |
| Rhopalurus laticauda* | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 10001 | 10111 | 00001 | 21011 | 00002 | 21000 | 00202 | 20000 | 11101 | 00010 0 | 1000 0 | 0110 | 20101 0 | 00000 | ささささ |
| Rhopalurus laticauda | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 10001 | 10111 | 00001 | 21011 | 00002 | 21000 | 00202 | 20000 | 11101 | 00010 0 | 1000 0 | 0110 | 20101 0 | 00000 | 2010 |
| Rhopalurus ochoai | 00000 | 00100 | 10001 | 10001 | 10111 | 00001 | 21011 | 00002 | 21000 | 00202 | 20000 | 11101 | 00010 0 | 1000 0 | 0110 | 20101 0 | 00000 | 2222 |
| Troglorhopalurus lacrau | 00000 | 00000 | 10000 | 10001 | 0-1 | 1 | 21011 | 01001 | 21200 | 020 | 0-001 | 11101 | 00111 2 | 1000 | 11100 | 10010 0 | 1002 3 | 2222 |
| Troglorhopalurus translucidus | 00000 | 00100 | 10110 | 10012 | 11700 | 00001 | 21011 | 01001 | 20200 | 11110 | 0;001 | 11101 | 00100 | 00100 | 0112 1 | 10010 0 | 2000 | 2222 |

ping fragments using universal eukaryote and scorpion specific primers (Table 4): a mitochondrial protein-coding gene, Cytochrome *c* Oxidase I (COI); mitochondrial structural genes, 12S rDNA (12S) and 16S rDNA (16S); and nuclear structural genes, 18S rDNA (18S), 28S rDNA (28S). The Polymerase Chain Reaction was performed on an Epicenter thermocycler (Eppendorf) using GoTaq polymerase (Promega). Reactions were verified on a 1.2% agarose gel stained with Sybr safe DNA gel stain (Invitrogen), and subsequently purified using the Ampure DNA (Agencourt) purification system on a Biomek NX robot (Beckman-Coulter).

Cycle sequencing was conducted using Big Dye v1.1 and automated Sanger sequencing of single-stranded

| Primer Name | Primer Sequence (5' to 3') | Citation |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 18S rDNA | | |
| 18Sa2.0 | ATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAAC | WHEELER et al. (1993) |
| 18Sbi | GAGTCTCGTTCGTTATCGGA | WHEELER et al. (1993) |
| 18S1F | TACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTAG | GIRIBET et al. (1996) |
| 18S3F | GTTCGATTCCGGAGAGGGA | GIRIBET et al. (1996) |
| 18S5R | CTTGGCAAATGCTTTCGC | GIRIBET et al. (1996) |
| 18S9R | GATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCTAC | GIRIBET et al. (1996) |
| 28S rDNA | | |
| 28Sa | GACCCGTCTTGAAGCACG | Nunn et al. (1996) |
| 28Sb | TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTAC | Nunn et al. (1996) |
| 28Sbout | CCCACAGCGCCAGTTCTGCTTACC | Prendini et al. (2005) |
| 12S rDNA | | |
| 12Sai | AAACTAGGATTAGATACCCTATTAT | Коснев et al. (1989) |
| 12Sbi | AAGAGCGACGGGCGATGTGT | Коснев et al. (1989) |
| 16S rDNA | | |
| 16Sbr | CTCCGGTTTGAACTCAGATCA | Simon et al. (1994) |
| 16Sar | CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT | SIMON et al. (1994) |
| Cytochrome c Oxidase I | | |
| HCO | TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA | Folmer et al. (1994) |
| HCOoutout | GTAAATATATGRTGDGCTC | Prendini et al. (2005) |
| LCO | GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG | Folmer et al. (1994) |
| Nancy (C1-N-2191) | CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC | Harrison et al. (1987) |
| C1-J-1718 | GGNGGATTTGGAAATTGRTTRGTTCC | Harrison et al. (1987) |
| C1-N-2776 | GGATAATCAGAATANCGNCGAGG | Harrison et al. (1987) |
| CruzR | CATACCCAAAGARCCAAAAGG | Valdez-Cruz et al. (2004) |
| LE1R | TCCATTCCCACAGTAAACATATG | Esposito (2011) |
| HCOEXTa | GAAGTTTATATTTTAATTTTACCTGG | Simon et al. (1994) |
| HCOEXTb | CCTATTGAWARAACATARTGAAAATG | Simon et al. (1994) |

Table 4. Primers used to amplify DNA sequences of two nuclear and three mitochondrial gene markers for phylogenetic analysis of the New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955.

DNA performed on an Applied Biosystems Inc. Prism[™] 3730×. Paired-strand reads were aligned using Sequencher[™] and edited by hand. A total 506 DNA sequences were generated (Table 5). The sequences of 98 individuals were complete for all 5 gene loci.

2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

Morphological characters were equally weighted *a priori* and analyzed with parsimony in TNT v1.1 (GOLOBOFF et al. 2003) using the New Technology Search option with 10,000 random addition replicates. Implied character weighting (GOLOBOFF 1993) was employed to evaluate the robustness of the topology to character weighting. Six values for the concavity constant, k, were investigated. Bootstrap measures of node support were calculated in TNT.

The leaf stability index (THORLEY & PAGE 2000) was calculated from the resulting trees in Phyutility v2.2 (SMITH & DUNN 2008) to identify rogue taxa (SANDER-SON & SCHAFFER 2002) which may have an impact on the topology (THOMPSON & SCHAFFER 2010) or measures of support (PATTENGALE et al. 2010).

Multiple sequence alignments for individual gene partitions were performed in MAFFT (KATOH et al. 2005) using the G-INS-i strategy, recommended by the authors for less than 200 sequences with global homology, and the PAM1/K = 2 matrix parameter, recommended by the authors for aligning sequences of closely related taxa. The resulting alignments were manually edited in Geneious v5.1 (Biomatters, Ltd.).

Aligned sequence data from the five gene loci (18S, 28S, 12S, 16S, COI) were concatenated to produce a molecular data matrix comprising 87 terminals and 4,250 characters, 3,104 of which were invariant, 167 variable but uninformative, and 979 variable and informative. The nucleotide composition was 25% A, 18.5% C, 25% G and 31.5% T.

The concatenated DNA sequence alignments were analyzed simultaneously in TNT v1.1, using equal weights in the New Technology Search option comprising 10,000 random addition replicates of Tree Drift (GOLOBOFF 1999) and Ratchet (NIXON 1999) to identify the most parsimonious tree. The concatenated dataset, partitioned by gene and codon position, were analyzed under Bayesian (Mr-Bayes v3.2.1) (HUELSENBECK & RONQUIST 2001; RONQUIST & HUELSENBECK 2003) and likelihood (RaxML) (STAMA-TAKIS 2006) criteria. MrModeltest2 v2.3 (NyLANDER 2004), employing Akaike Information Criteria (AKAIKE 1973), was used to determine the best fitting model of DNA substitution for each gene and codon position (COI) (Table 6). When the best fitting model included both the Γ and invariable sites (I) parameters, the next best fitting model was selected to avoid issues resulting from non**Table 5.** Genbank accession codes for vouchers, deposited at the American Museum of Natural History, New York (AMNH), and the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade São Paulo, Brazil (MZSP), and tissue samples, deposited in the Ambrose Monell Collection for Molecular and Microbial Research (AMCC) at the AMNH, from which DNA was extracted and sequenced for phylogenetic analysis of the New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. Type localities (TL) of taxa currently recognized or in synonymy [] denoted by 'Y'. Provenance data are provided in Appendix 1.

| Species | TL | Voucher | AMCC | 18S | 28S | 12S | 16S | COI |
|----------------------------|-----|------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| lsometrus maculatus | | AMNH | LP 1798 | KY982016.1 | KY982111.1 | KY981825.1 | KY981921.1 | KY982207.1 |
| Tityus atriventer | | AMNH | LP 9033 | KY982074.1 | KY982169.1 | KY981883.1 | KY981978.1 | KY982264.1 |
| Tityus bahiensis | | AMNH | LP 5641 | KY982075.1 | KY982170.1 | KY981884.1 | KY981979.1 | KY982265.1 |
| Tityus clathratus | | AMNH | LP 1567 | KY982076.1 | KY982171.1 | KY981885.1 | KY981980.1 | KY982266.1 |
| Tityus discrepans | | AMNH | LP 1547 | KY982077.1 | KY982172.1 | KY981886.1 | KY981981.1 | KY982267.1 |
| Tityus kuryi | | AMNH | LP 7659 | KY982078.1 | KY982173.1 | KY981887.1 | KY981982.1 | KY982268.1 |
| Tityus metuendus | | AMNH | LP 1546 | KY982079.1 | KY982174.1 | KY981888.1 | KY981983.1 | KY982269.1 |
| Tityus smithii | | AMNH | LP 9046 | KY982081.1 | KY982176.1 | KY981890.1 | KY981985.1 | KY982271.1 |
| Tityus riverai | | AMNH | LP 10202 | KY982080.1 | KY982175.1 | KY981889.1 | KY981984.1 | KY982270.1 |
| Zabius birabeni | | AMNH | LP 4251 | KY982082.1 | KY982177.1 | KY981891.1 | KY981986.1 | KY982272.1 |
| Zabius fuscus | | AMNH | LP 5642 | KY982083.1 | KY982178.1 | KY981892.1 | KY981987.1 | KY982273.1 |
| Centruroides exilicauda | | AMNH | LP 1692 | KY981988.1 | KY982084.1 | KY981797.1 | KY981893.1 | KY982179.1 |
| Centruroides gracilis | | AMNH | LP 2013 | KY981989.1 | KY982085.1 | KY981798.1 | KY981894.1 | KY982180.1 |
| Centruroides infamatus | | AMNH | LP 1822 | KY981990.1 | KY982086.1 | KY981799.1 | KY981895.1 | KY982181.1 |
| Centruroides margaritatus | | AMNH | LP 1986 | KY981991.1 | KY982087.1 | KY981800.1 | KY981896.1 | KY982182.1 |
| Centruroides rileyi | | AMNH | LP 6445 | KY981992.1 | KY982088.1 | KY981801.1 | KY981897.1 | KY982183.1 |
| Centruroides schmidti | | AMNH | LP 9172 | KY981993.1 | KY982089.1 | KY981802.1 | KY981898.1 | KY982184.1 |
| Centruroides vittatus | | AMNH | LP 2286 | KY981994.1 | KY982090.1 | KY981803.1 | KY981899.1 | KY982185.1 |
| Heteroctenus abudi | | AMNH | LP 3268 | KY981997.1 | KY982093.1 | KY981806.1 | KY981902.1 | KY982188.1 |
| | [Y] | AMNH | LP 10234 | KY981995.1 | KY982091.1 | KY981804.1 | KY981900.1 | KY982186.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus virkkii] | [Y] | AMNH | LP 10235 | KY981996.1 | KY982092.1 | KY981805.1 | KY981901.1 | KY982187.1 |
| | Y | AMNH | LP 2471 | KY981998.1 | KY982094.1 | KY981807.1 | KY981903.1 | KY982189.1 |
| Heteroctenus bonettii | Y | AMNH | LP 3267 | KY981999.1 | KY982095.1 | KY981808.1 | KY981904.1 | KY982190.1 |
| Heteroctenus garridoi | Y | AMNH | LP 10225 | KY982000.1 | KY982096.1 | KY981809.1 | KY981905.1 | KY982191.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 1517 | KY982007.1 | KY982103.1 | KY981816.1 | KY981912.1 | KY982198.1 |
| Heteroctenus junceus | | AMNH | LP 1565 | KY982008.1 | KY982104.1 | KY981817.1 | KY981913.1 | KY982199.1 |
| | [Y] | AMNH | LP 12613 | KY982001.1 | KY982097.1 | KY981810.1 | KY981906.1 | KY982192.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus aridicola] | [Y] | AMNH | LP 12618 | KY982002.1 | KY982098.1 | KY981811.1 | KY981907.1 | KY982193.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 12622 | KY982003.1 | KY982099.1 | KY981812.1 | KY981908.1 | KY982194.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 12624 | KY982004.1 | KY982100.1 | KY981813.1 | KY981909.1 | KY982195.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 12627 | KY982005.1 | KY982101.1 | KY981814.1 | KY981910.1 | KY982196.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 1516 | KY982010.1 | KY982106.1 | KY981819.1 | KY981915.1 | KY982201.1 |
| Heteroctenus princeps | | AMNH | LP 1566 | KY982011.1 | KY982107.1 | KY981820.1 | KY981916.1 | KY982202.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 3262 | KY982012.1 | KY982108.1 | KY981821.1 | KY981917.1 | KY982203.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 3264 | KY982013.1 | - | KY981822.1 | KY981918.1 | KY982204.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 12478 | KY982009.1 | KY982105.1 | KY981818.1 | KY981914.1 | KY982200.1 |
| | Y | MZSP 30864 | LP 9669 | KY982014.1 | KY982109.1 | KY981823.1 | KY981919.1 | KY982205.1 |
| Ischnotelson guanambiensis | | MZSP 30865 | LP 9670 | KY982015.1 | KY982110.1 | KY981824.1 | KY981920.1 | KY982206.1 |
| lschnotelson peruassu | Y | MZSP 31138 | LP 9937 | KY982017.1 | KY982112.1 | KY981826.1 | KY981922.1 | KY982208.1 |
| | | MZSP 30883 | LP 9692 | KY982018.1 | KY982113.1 | KY981827.1 | KY981923.1 | KY982209.1 |
| | | MZSP 30884 | LP 9693 | KY982019.1 | KY982114.1 | KY981828.1 | KY981924.1 | KY982210.1 |
| Jaguajir agamemnon | | MZSP 30885 | LP 9694 | KY982020.1 | KY982115.1 | KY981829.1 | KY981925.1 | KY982211.1 |
| | | MZSP 30886 | LP 9695 | KY982021.1 | KY982116.1 | KY981830.1 | KY981926.1 | KY982212.1 |
| | | MZSP 30887 | LP 9696 | KY982022.1 | KY982117.1 | KY981831.1 | KY981927.1 | KY982213.1 |
| | [Y] | MZSP 31170 | LP 9929 | KY982023.1 | KY982118.1 | KY981832.1 | KY981928.1 | KY982214.1 |
| | | MZSP 31133 | LP 9932 | KY982024.1 | KY982119.1 | KY981833.1 | KY981929.1 | KY982215.1 |
| | | MZSP 31157 | LP 9933 | KY982025.1 | KY982120.1 | KY981834.1 | KY981930.1 | KY982216.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus acromelas] | | MZSP 31161 | LP 9942 | KY982026.1 | KY982121.1 | KY981835.1 | KY981931.1 | KY982217.1 |
| | | MZSP 31167 | LP 9949 | KY982027.1 | KY982122.1 | KY981836.1 | KY981932.1 | KY982218.1 |
| | | MZSP 31181 | LP 9958 | KY982028.1 | KY982123.1 | KY981837.1 | KY981933.1 | KY982219.1 |
| Jaguajir pintoi | | | | | | | | |
| [= Rhopalurus crassicauda] | [Y] | AMNH | LP 8278 | KY982029.1 | KY982124.1 | KY981838.1 | KY981934.1 | KY982220.1 |
| | [Y] | MZSP 30863 | LP 9671 | KY982030.1 | KY982125.1 | KY981839.1 | KY981935.1 | KY982221.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus piceus] | [Y] | MZSP 30862 | LP 9672 | KY982031.1 | KY982126.1 | KY981840.1 | KY981936.1 | KY982222.1 |
| | | MZSP 31176 | LP 9928 | KY982032.1 | KY982127.1 | KY981841.1 | KY981937. | KY982223.1 |

Table 5 continued.

| Species | TL | Voucher | AMCC | 18S | 28S | 12S | 16S | COI |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | AMNH | LP 1775 | KY982033.1 | KY982128.1 | KY981842.1 | KY981938.1 | KY982224.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 7638 | KY982034.1 | KY982129.1 | KY981843.1 | KY981939.1 | KY982225.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 7639 | KY982035.1 | KY982130.1 | KY981844.1 | KY981940.1 | - |
| | | MZSP 30879 | LP 9682 | KY982036.1 | KY982131.1 | KY981845.1 | KY981941.1 | KY982226.1 |
| | | MZSP 30880 | LP 9683 | KY982037.1 | KY982132.1 | KY981846.1 | KY981942.1 | KY982227.1 |
| | | MZSP 30881 | LP 9684 | KY982038.1 | KY982133.1 | KY981847.1 | KY981943.1 | KY982228.1 |
| laguaiir rachaa | | MZSP 30882 | LP 9685 | KY982039.1 | KY982134.1 | KY981848.1 | KY981944.1 | KY982229.1 |
| Jaguajii Tuchae | | MZSP 31127 | LP 9926 | KY982040.1 | KY982135.1 | KY981849.1 | KY981945.1 | KY982230.1 |
| | | MZSP 31151 | LP 9941 | KY982041.1 | KY982136.1 | KY981850.1 | KY981946.1 | KY982231.1 |
| | | MZSP 31146 | LP 9943 | KY982042.1 | KY982137.1 | KY981851.1 | KY981947.1 | KY982232.1 |
| | | MZSP 31148 | LP 9946 | KY982043.1 | KY982138.1 | KY981852.1 | KY981948.1 | KY982233.1 |
| | | MZSP 31124 | LP 9947 | KY982044.1 | KY982139.1 | KY981853.1 | KY981949.1 | KY982234.1 |
| | | MZSP 31143 | LP 9951 | KY982045.1 | KY982140.1 | KY981854.1 | KY981950.1 | KY982235.1 |
| | | MZSP 31123 | LP 9953 | KY982046.1 | KY982141.1 | KY981855.1 | KY981951.1 | KY982236.1 |
| [= Centrurus stenochirus] | [Y] | MZSP 31122 | LP 9963 | KY982047.1 | KY982142.1 | KY981856.1 | - | KY982237.1 |
| | | MZSP 30866 | LP 9678 | KY982048.1 | KY982143.1 | KY981857.1 | KY981952.1 | KY982238.1 |
| Physoctonus debilis | | MZSP 30867 | LP 9679 | KY982049.1 | KY982144.1 | KY981858.1 | KY981953.1 | KY982239.1 |
| | | MZSP 30868 | LP 9680 | KY982050.1 | KY982145.1 | KY981859.1 | KY981954.1 | KY982240.1 |
| Physoctonus striatus | Y | MZSP 30869 | LP 9681 | KY982051.1 | KY982146.1 | KY981860.1 | KY981955.1 | KY982241.1 |
| Dhana lumua anvihanaia | Y | AMNH | LP 9341 | KY982053.1 | KY982148.1 | KY981862.1 | KY981957.1 | KY982243.1 |
| Rhopalurus canbensis | | AMNH | LP 13167 | KY982052.1 | KY982147.1 | KY981861.1 | KY981956.1 | KY982242.1 |
| Rhopalurus laticauda | | AMNH | LP 2462 | KY982057.1 | KY982152.1 | KY981866.1 | KY981961.1 | KY9822471. |
| | [Y] | AMNH | LP 2845 | KY982058.1 | KY982153.1 | KY981867.1 | KY981962.1 | KY982248.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 4221 | KY982059.1 | KY982154.1 | KY981868.1 | KY981963.1 | KY982249.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 9200 | KY982060.1 | KY982155.1 | KY981869.1 | KY981964.1 | KY982250.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 9237 | KY982061.1 | KY982156.1 | KY981870.1 | KY981965.1 | KY982251.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus laticauda sachsii] | | AMNH | LP 9253 | KY982062.1 | KY982157.1 | KY981871.1 | KY981966.1 | KY982252.1 |
| [= Rhopalurus laticauda sachsii] | | AMNH | LP 9256 | KY982063.1 | KY982158.1 | KY981872.1 | KY981967.1 | KY982253.1 |
| | | MZSP 30876 | LP 9675 | KY982064.1 | KY982159.1 | KY981873.1 | KY981968.1 | KY982254.1 |
| | | MZSP 30877 | LP 9676 | KY982065.1 | KY982160.1 | KY981874.1 | KY981969.1 | KY982255.1 |
| | | MZSP 30878 | LP 9677 | KY982066.1 | KY982161.1 | KY981875.1 | KY981970.1 | KY982256.1 |
| | [Y] | MZSP 30870 | LP 9686 | KY982067.1 | KY982162.1 | KY981876.1 | KY981971.1 | KY982257.1 |
| | | MZSP 30871 | LP 9687 | KY982068.1 | KY982163.1 | KY981877.1 | KY981972.1 | KY982258.1 |
| | [Y] | MZSP 30872 | LP 9688 | KY982069.1 | KY982164.1 | KY981878.1 | KY981973.1 | KY982259.1 |
| | [Y] | MZSP 30873 | LP 9689 | KY982070.1 | KY982165.1 | KY981879.1 | KY981974.1 | KY982260.1 |
| [_ Phonolurus amazoniaus] | [Y] | MZSP 30874 | LP 9690 | KY982071.1 | KY982166.1 | KY981880.1 | KY981975.1 | KY982261.1 |
| [= hhopalulus allazonicus] | [Y] | MZSP 30875 | LP 9691 | KY982072.1 | KY982167.1 | KY981881.1 | KY981976.1 | KY982262.1 |
| | | MZSP 31172 | LP 9927 | KY982073.1 | KY982168.1 | KY981882.1 | KY981977.1 | KY982263.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 10046 | KY982054.1 | KY982149.1 | KY981863.1 | KY981958.1 | KY982244.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 10047 | KY982055.1 | KY982150.1 | KY981864.1 | KY981959.1 | KY982245.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 10048 | KY982056.1 | KY982151.1 | KY981865.1 | KY981960.1 | KY982246.1 |
| | Y | AMNH | LP 5504 | MF508621.1 | MF508628.1 | - | MF402014.1 | MF508635.1 |
| Rhonalurus ochoai | | AMNH | LP 5505 | MF508622.1 | MF508629.1 | - | MF402015.1 | MF508636.1 |
| mopalulus ocnoal | | AMNH | LP 9199 | MF508623.1 | MF508630.1 | - | MF402016.1 | MF508637.1 |
| | | AMNH | LP 9207 | MF508624.1 | MF508631.1 | - | MF402017.1 | MF508638.1 |
| Trodorhonalurus lacrau | L | AMNH | LP 7637 | MF508626.1 | MF508633.1 | MF508616.1 | MF508619.1 | MF508640.1 |
| | Y | AMNH | LP 10211 | MF508625.1 | MF508632.1 | MF508615.1 | MF508618.1 | MF508639.1 |
| Troglorhopalurus translucidus | Y | MZSP 30888 | LP 9668 | MF508627.1 | MF508634.4 | MF508617.1 | MF508620.1 | MF508641.1 |

independence of the Γ and I parameters. The Bayesian analysis was performed on the CiPRES supercomputing cluster (MILLER et al. 2009) in four independent runs for 60 million generations, sampling every 1000 generations. Burn-in times were determined by eye using ln-likelihood in Tracer v1.5 (RAMBAUT & DRUMMOND 2007) and convergence assessed by the standard deviations of split frequencies in AWTY (NYLANDER et al. 2008). A maximum clade credibility tree was computed from the post-burn-in trees with TreeAnnotator v1.6.1 (RAMBAUT & DRUMMOND 2007). The concatenated dataset was also analyzed in raxmlHPC v7.0.4 (STAMATAKIS 2006). Each partition was analyzed under the GTR+ Γ model (YANG 1994).

The morphological character matrix and concatenated DNA sequence alignments were analyzed simultaneously with parsimony and Bayesian Inference. Parsimony analysis was conducted using TNT with equal weighting. The Bayesian analysis was performed in MrBayes v.3.2.1 on the CiPRES supercomputing cluster in two independent runs for 50 million generations, sampling every 1000 generations. Burn-in times were determined by eye using ln-likelihood in Tracer v1.5 (RAMBAUT & DRUMMOND 2007) and convergence assessed by the standard deviations of split frequencies in AWTY (NYLANDER et al. 2008). A maximum clade credibility tree was computed from the post-burn-in trees in TreeAnnotator v1.6.1 (RAMBAUT & DRUMMOND 2007).

2.5. Stridulation

The pecten-sternite stridulation organ was examined and standardized images prepared of the pectines and sternite III of seventeen species of Centruroidinae, using material in the collections of the University of São Paulo (USP) and the American Museum of Natural History: *Heteroctenus abudi* (Armas & Marcano Fondeur, 1987); *Heteroctenus bonettii* (Armas, 1999); *Heteroctenus garridoi* (Armas, 1974); *H. junceus*; *Heteroctenus princeps* (Karsch, 1879); *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* **Table 6.** Best-fitting models of nucleotide substitution calculated for five loci in phylogenetic analysis of the New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. The best model was determined for each codon position for Cytochrome *c* Oxidase subunit I (COI). Partitions indicated with an asterisk are the second-best model following the exclusion of models that included both the Γ and I parameters.

| Locus: Partition | AIC Model |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 18S rDNA | GTR+I |
| 28S rDNA | GTR+I |
| 12S rDNA | GTR+G* |
| 16S rDNA | HKY+G* |
| COI: 1 st position | GTR+G* |
| COI: 2 nd position | GTR+G* |
| COI: 3 rd position | GTR+G* |

(Lenarducci et al., 2005); *Ischnotelson peruassu* Esposito et al., 2017; *Jaguajir agamemnon* (C.L. Koch, 1839); *J. pintoi*; *Jaguajir rochae* (Borelli, 1910); *R. caribensis*; *R. laticauda*; *Rhopalurus ochoai* Esposito et al., 2017; *T. lacrau*; *Troglorhopalurus translucidus* Lourenço et al., 2004; *Physoctonus debilis* (C.L. Koch, 1840); *Physoctonus striatus* Esposito et al., 2017.

Table 7. Length (steps), consistency indices (CI) and retention indices (RI) of 90 morphological characters on the most parsimonious tree obtained by equal weights and implied weighting (k = 3-6) for phylogenetic analysis of the New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955.

| Char. | Steps | CI | RI | Char. | Steps | CI | RI | Char. | Steps | CI | RI |
|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|
| 1 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 31 | 6 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 61 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.44 |
| 2 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 32 | 4 | 0.50 | 0.85 | 62 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| 3 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 33 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 63 | 10 | 0.10 | 0.31 |
| 4 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 34 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 64 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.77 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 35 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 65 | 6 | 0.33 | 0.60 |
| 6 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 36 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 66 | 6 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
| 7 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 37 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 67 | 7 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 8 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 38 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 68 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 9 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 39 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 69 | 7 | 0.14 | 0.25 |
| 10 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 40 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 70 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 41 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 71 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.50 |
| 12 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 42 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 72 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 13 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 43 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 73 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 44 | 11 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 74 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| 15 | 8 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 45 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 75 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.44 |
| 16 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.58 | 46 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 76 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.74 |
| 17 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 47 | 7 | 0.14 | 0.40 | 77 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.83 |
| 18 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 48 | 9 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 78 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.50 |
| 19 | 8 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 49 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 79 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.62 |
| 20 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.90 | 50 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 80 | 5 | 0.40 | 0.77 |
| 21 | 9 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 51 | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 81 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.40 |
| 22 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 52 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 82 | 9 | 0.11 | 0.47 |
| 23 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 53 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 83 | 9 | 0.22 | 0.46 |
| 24 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 54 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.67 | 84 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.75 |
| 25 | 7 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 55 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 85 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.29 |
| 26 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.73 | 56 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 86 | 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 27 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 57 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 87 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 28 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 58 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 88 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 59 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 89 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 30 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 60 | 5 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 90 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.00 |



Fig. 7. Phylogeny of the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 obtained by separate analysis of 90 morphological characters with parsimony. Strict consensus of four most parsimonious trees (MPTs) obtained by analysis under equal weighting. Synapomorphies optimized with accelerated transformation. Black circles indicate uniquely derived apomorphic states, white circles indicate parallel derivations of apomorphic states, numbers above indicate characters, and numbers below indicate states. Refer to Appendix 2 for character descriptions.

Material fixed in 70% ethanol was cleaned using a sonicator, and subsequently dehydrated with acetone. One pecten per species was dissected, fixed on a stub, and dried in an oven for approximately 8 hours. The material was covered with gold using a Sputter Coater Balzer SCD 50, and images of the pectines prepared using a ZEISS DSM 940 scanning electronic microscope at USP. Ultraviolet fluorescence images of sternite III were also prepared, using a Microptics ML-1000 digital imaging system (PRENDINI 2003a; VOLSCHENK 2006).

Stridulation behavior was observed and recorded in ten species: *H. abudi*; *I. guanambiensis*; *J. agamemnon*; *J. pintoi*; *J. rochae*; *T. translucidus*; *T. lacrau*; *P. debilis*; *P. striatus*; *R. crassicauda*.

3. Results

3.1. Separate morphological analyses

Parsimony analysis of the morphological character matrix with equal weighting obtained five most parsimonious trees (MPTs) with a length of 380 steps, Consistency Index (CI) of 0.32, and Retention Index (RI) of 0.62, in two islands of tree topologies (Table 7). *Tityus* and *Zabius* consistently formed a monophyletic group, with *Zabius* monophyletic, and *Tityus* paraphyletic with respect to *Zabius*. Centruroidinae was consistently monophyletic and comprised seven primary clades corresponding to



Fig. 8. Phylogeny of the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 obtained by separate analysis of 90 morphological characters with parsimony. Single MPT with alternative topology obtained by analysis under equal weighting and implied weighting with mild concavity (k = 3-6). Synapomorphies optimized with accelerated transformation. Black circles indicate uniquely derived apomorphic states, white circles indicate parallel derivations of apomorphic states, numbers above indicate characters, and numbers below indicate states. Refer to Appendix 2 for character descriptions.

genera Centruroides, Heteroctenus, Ischnotelson, Jaguajir, Physoctonus, Rhopalurus and Troglorhopalurus. Four of the MPTs were almost identical, exhibiting only minor rearrangements among the species of Centruroides and Rhopalurus, as indicated by the strict consensus (Fig. 7). These topologies placed Centruroides sister to the remaining six centruroidine genera, all previously accommodated within Rhopalurus: (Centruroides (Troglorhopalurus (Physoctonus (Ischnotelson (Rhopalurus (Heteroctenus + Jaguajir))))). The fifth MPT recovered by the equal weighting analysis differed from the other MPTs by reversing the positions of Physoctonus and Troglorhopalurus, and placing Centruroides in a clade with Heteroctenus, sister to a clade comprising Ischnotelson, Jaguajir and Rhopalurus (Fig. 8): (Physoctonus (Troglorhopalurus ((Ischnotelson (Jaguajir + Rhopalurus)) (Centruroides + Heteroctenus))))).

Analyses with implied weighting under k = 1-6 obtained a single MPT in each case. As in the equal weighting analysis, *Tityus* and *Zabius* consistently formed a clade, with *Zabius* monophyletic, and *Tityus* paraphyletic with respect to *Zabius*. Centruroidinae was consistently monophyletic and comprised seven primary clades corresponding to the abovementioned genera. However, two alternative topologies were recovered, depending on the strength of weighting against homoplasy (the concavity constant, k). The topology obtained by analyses with mild concavity (k = 3-6) was identical to the fifth MPT ob-



Fig. 9. Phylogeny of the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 obtained by separate analysis of 90 morphological characters with parsimony. Single most parsimonious tree obtained by analysis under implied weighting with strong concavity (k = 1-2). Synapomorphies optimized with accelerated transformation. Black circles indicate uniquely derived apomorphic states, white circles indicate parallel derivations of apomorphic states, numbers above indicate characters, and numbers below indicate states. Refer to Appendix 2 for character descriptions.

tained by the analysis with equal weighting (Fig. 8). The topology obtained by the analyses with strong concavity (k = 1 or 2) recovered *Tityus* monophyletic and placed *Centruroides* sister to a clade comprising *Heteroctenus*, *Ischnotelson, Jaguajir* and *Rhopalurus*, to the exclusion of *Physoctonus* and *Troglorhopalurus* (Fig. 9): (*Physoctonus* (*Troglorhopalurus* (*Centruroides* (*Heteroctenus* (*Ischnotelson* (*Jaguajir* + *Rhopalurus*))))). *Heteroctenus* was paraphyletic with respect to *Jaguajir*.

Leaf stability indices of the topology obtained by separate analyses of the morphological character matrix with equal weighting and implied weighting under k = 3-6were greater than 0.98 for the outgroup taxa (*Tityus* and Zabius) indicating that the monophyly of Centruroidinae is stable and most rearrangements occur among its component genera. Leaf stability indices for the seven genera were also high, varying between 0.80 and 0.88, indicating that the monophyly of these genera is well supported, despite some uncertainty regarding their relative positions.

3.2. Separate molecular analyses

Parsimony analysis of the concatenated molecular dataset produced 14 MPTs of 6,688 steps, CI of 0.33 and RI of 0.75. The only topological disagreement concerned



Jaguajir rochae 9926

Jaquaiir rochae 9941

Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 obtained by separate analysis of concatenated DNA sequences from three mitochondrial (12S rDNA, 16S rDNA, Cytochrome c Oxidase I) and two nuclear (18S rDNA, 28S rDNA) gene loci with Bayesian Inference. Maximum clade credibility tree with posterior probabilities at nodes before backslash. Nearly identical topology recovered with likelihood, bootstrap support at nodes after backslash



Fig. 11. Phylogeny of the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 obtained by simultaneous analysis of 90 morphological characters and concatenated DNA sequences from three mitochondrial (12S rDNA, 16S rDNA, Cytochrome *c* Oxidase I) and two nuclear (18S rDNA, 28S rDNA) gene loci with parsimony under equal weighting. Strict consensus of 39 most parsimonious trees with bootstrap support values at nodes after backslash. Identical topology recovered with Bayesian Inference, maximum clade credibility tree, its posterior probabilities at nodes before backslash.

the relationships among conspecific terminals within *H.* princeps, *P.* debilis, and the three species of *Rhopalurus*. As in the separate analyses of the morphological character matrix, *Zabius* and the seven centruroidine genera were each consistently monophyletic. Additionally, *Tity-us* was monophyletic, as in the morphological analyses with implied weighting under k = 1 and 2 (Fig. 9). Unlike the morphological analyses, however, Centruroidinae was rendered paraphyletic by the placement of *Ischnotelson* sister to *Tityus*, to the exclusion of *Zabius*, placed sister to the remaining genera of Centruroidinae. Among the remaining centruroidine genera, *Centruroides* was

monophyletic with *Heteroctenus*, as in the morphological analyses with equal weighting and implied weighting under k = 3-6 (Fig. 8): (*Troglorhopalurus* ((*Centruroides* + *Heteroctenus*) (*Jaguajir* (*Physoctonus* + *Rhopalurus*)))).

Similarly, Bayesian Inference recovered a paraphyletic Centruroidinae with the placement of *Ischnotelson* sister to *Tityus*, to the exclusion of *Zabius*, placed sister to the remaining genera of Centruroidinae (Fig. 10). Among the remaining centruroidine genera, *Centruroides* was monophyletic with *Heteroctenus*, as in the morphological analyses with equal weighting and implied weighting under k = 3-6 (Fig. 8), but placed sister to a clade comprising *Physoctonus* and *Rhopalurus*, to the exclusion of *Jaguajir* and *Troglorhopalurus*: (*Troglorhopalurus* (*Jaguajir* ((*Centruroides* + *Heteroctenus*) (*Physoctonus* + *Rhopalurus*)))).

Likelihood analysis of the molecular dataset again recovered the monophyly of all genera, including *Tityus*, and the clades comprising *Centruroides* and *Heteroctenus*, and *Physoctonus* and *Rhopalurus*. Unlike the parsimony analysis, however, Centruroidinae was monophyletic, with the following relationships among its component genera: (*Ischnotelson* ((*Physoctonus* + *Rhopalurus*) (*Troglorhopalurus* (*Jaguajir* (*Centruroides* + *Heteroctenus*))))).

3.3. Simultaneous analyses

Simultaneous analysis of the morphological character matrix and the concatenated molecular dataset with equal weights parsimony retrieved 39 MPTs of 7,097 steps, CI 0.30 and RI 0.75 (Fig. 11). Titvus, Zabius and the seven centruroidine genera were each consistently monophyletic with high support. As in the parsimony analyses of the molecular dataset, however, Centruroidinae was rendered paraphyletic by the placement of Zabius sister to Tityus, to the exclusion of Ischnotelson (Fig. 11). Alternative hypotheses for the relative positions of these three groups resulted in a basal polytomy between Zabius, a weakly supported clade comprising Ischnotelson and Tityus, and a clade comprising the remaining genera of Centruroidinae. Relationships among the remaining centruroidine genera were better supported and mostly congruent with the topologies recovered by the separate analyses of the morphological and molecular data. Jaguajir was placed sister to a clade comprising Physoctonus and Rhopalurus, which together was sister to a clade comprising Centruroides and Heteroctenus, to the exclusion of Troglorhopalurus: (Troglorhopalurus ((Centruroides + Heteroctenus) (Jaguajir (Physoctonus + Rhopalurus)))).

The maximum clade credibility tree obtained from the simultaneous analysis with MrBayes was well supported (Fig. 11). *Tityus, Zabius*, and the seven centruroidine genera were each monophyletic with posterior probabilities (PP) = 1. *Tityus* and *Zabius* formed the monophyletic sister group (PP = 0.96) of a monophyletic Centruroidinae (PP = 0.72). Relationships among the genera of Centruroidinae resembled those obtained by the simultaneous analysis with parsimony, except for the placement of *Ischnotelson*. *Jaguajir* was placed sister to a clade comprising *Physoctonus* and *Rhopalurus* (PP = 1), in turn placed sister to a clade comprising *Centruroides* and *Heteroctenus* (PP = 1), to the exclusion of *Troglorhopalurus*.

3.4. Preferred hypothesis

The tree topologies obtained from separate and simultaneous analyses using various analytical and sampling methods were mostly congruent. The preferred hypothe-



Fig. 12. Characters associated with pecten-sternite stridulation (Table 3, Appendix 2) in the New World buthid scorpion subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. PEP = proximally expanded pectines. White squares = stridulatory state absent (plesiomorphic state). Bicolored squares = intermediate state. Black squares = stridulatory state present (apomorphic state). Two squares = both character states present

sis for the relationships among the genera of Centruroidinae is the topology recovered by simultaneous analysis of the molecular and morphological data, likelihood analysis of the molecular dataset, and one of the topologies recovered in the parsimony analysis morphology dataset (Fig. 11). This topology was mostly congruent with the consensus of the MPTs obtained from the separate morphological analyses with equal weights and implied weights under mild concavity (k = 3-6).

3.5. Stridulation

The morphology of the pecten-sternite stridulation organ varies among the species of Centruroidinae (Table 8, Figs. 3-5, 12). A stridulation organ was considered present in species that possess granular depressions on sternite III (*pars stridens*) and regular (i.e., continuous and approximately parallel) striations on the dorsal surfaces of the pectinal teeth (*plectrum*). Stridulation does not occur in *Alayotityus*, *Ischnotelson*, *Isometrus*, *Mesotityus*, *Physoctonus*, *Tityus*, and *Troglorhopalurus*, due to the absence of one or both structures.

The pars stridens is synapomorphic for the Centruroidinae, but exhibits varying levels of development among the genera, e.g., the granules are small in most genera, but large in *Jaguajir*. Its absence in some *Centruroides*, *Physoctonus* and *Troglorhopalurus* is considered an independent secondary loss in each case. A dorsal ridge on the pectinal teeth arose independently in *Jaguajir* and some *Heteroctenus*.

The *plectrum* arose within the centruroidine clade that excludes *Ischnotelson*. The striations reverted to ir-

Table 8. Morphological characteristics of the pecten-sternite stridulation organ of the species of the New World buthid genera *Heteroctenus* Pocock, 1893, *Ischnotelson* Esposito et al., 2017, *Jaguajir* Esposito et al., 2017, *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934, *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876, and *Troglorhopalurus* Lourenço et al., 2004. Characters that define the presence of a stridulation organ indicated in boldface. Numbers in parentheses refer to character numbers in the morphological matrix (Table 3, Appendix 2).

| | | Pars stridens | | | Plectrum | |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Sternite III Lateral Depressions (51) | Proximally Expanded Pectines | Pectinal Teeth Stria- tions (40) | Pectinal Teeth Dorsal Keel (39) | Pectinal Teeth Nodules (41) |
| | J. agamemnon | Present, large granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Absent |
| Type IV-A | J. pintoi | Present, large granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Absent |
| | J. rochae | Present, large granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Absent |
| | H. abudi | Present, small granules | 1.5 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Present |
| | H. bonettii | Present, small granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Present |
| Type IV-B | H. junceus | Present, small granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Present |
| | H. princeps | Present, small granules | 1.5 × medial | Present, parallel | Present | Present |
| | H. garridoi | Present, small granules | > 2 × medial | Present, parallel | Absent | Present |
| - | R. laticauda | Present, small granules | 1.5 × medial | Present, parallel | Absent | Absent |
| Type IV-C | R. caribensis | Present, small granules | 1.5 × medial | Present, parallel | Absent | Absent |
| | R. crassicauda | Present, small granules | 1.5 × medial | Present, parallel | Absent | Absent |
| | T. lacrau | Absent, irregular | 1.5 × medial | Present, irregular | Absent | Absent |
| | T. translucidus | Absent, irregular | 1.5 × medial | Present, irregular | Absent | Absent |
| Abaant | I. guanambiensis | Present, small granules | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present |
| Absent | l. peruassu | Present, small granules | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present |
| | P. debilis | Absent | 1.5 × medial | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| | P. striatus | Absent | 1.5 × medial | Absent | Absent | Absent |

regular in Centruroides and were lost in Physoctonus.

Pronounced proximal expansion of the pectinal lamellae, observed in the clade containing *Centruroides*, *Heteroctenus*, and *Jaguajir*, was secondarily reduced in some *Heteroctenus* (e.g., *H. princeps*) and lost in *Centruroides*.

4. Discussion

4.1. Centruroidinae and *Centruroides* monophyletic

Centruroides, Physoctonus, Troglorhopalurus and the species previously assigned to *Rhopalurus* (Esposito et al. 2017) were monophyletic and well-supported in all except two topologies, confirming the monophyly of Centruroidinae. Among Buthidae, the presence of prolateral accessory denticles in the median denticle rows of the pedipalp chela fingers is uniquely synapomorphic for the subfamily (Fig. 1). Additional synapomorphies include a transverse row of median tubercles on the chelicerae, dorsobasal setation on the cheliceral fixed finger, and a bifurcated prolateral pedal spur on leg I, reduced in *Physoctonus*.

Centruroides was also consistently monophyletic and well-supported in the analyses presented, contradicting the findings of TERUEL et al. (2006), in which Cuban species of *Centruroides* were paraphyletic with respect to Cuban species of *Rhopalurus*, currently placed in *Heteroctenus* (ESPOSITO et al. 2017). Morphological synapomorphies of *Centruroides* include convergence of the prodorsal and proventral carinae of the pedipalp patella, trichobothrium db of the fixed finger of the pedipalp chela aligned with or distal to trichobothrium et, trichobothrium est of the fixed finger situated between trichobothria db and et or proximal to et, and metasomal segment V elongate, particularly in adult males (length > $2.5 \times$ width).

4.2. Rhopalurus s.l. paraphyletic

The group of species assigned to *Rhopalurus* by previous authors (FET & LOWE 2000; TERUEL 2006; TERUEL & ARMAS 2006, 2012; LOURENÇO 2007, 2008, 2014; TERUEL & RONCALLO 2008, 2013; TERUEL & TIETZ 2008; PRENDINI et al. 2009; SANTIAGO-BLAY 2009; FLÓREZ 2012) was consistently paraphyletic in the analyses presented here, contradicting the suggestion by LOURENÇO (1986) that these species are united by the presence of a pectensternite stridulation organ (Figs. 3-5). The components of *Rhopalurus sensu lato* consistently formed six well-supported monophyletic groups, the species composition of which comes as little surprise, given their disjunct distributions (Fig. 6).

Heteroctenus, removed from synonymy with *Rhopalurus* by Esposito et al. (2017), comprises all former species of *Rhopalurus* occurring in the Greater Antilles, and represented in the analyses by the type species, *H. junceus*, and five species transferred from *Rhopalurus* by Esposito et al. (2017): *H. abudi* and its junior synonym, *R. virkkii*; *H. bonettii*; *H. garridoi*; *H. gibarae*; *H. princeps*.

Two genera, recently created by ESPOSITO et al. (2017), accommodate two distinct groups of species, all

except two of which were transferred from *Rhopalurus* by ESPOSITO et al. (2017). *Ischnotelson* comprises two species characterized by a very narrow telson, *I. guanambiensis* and *I. peruassu*, from the caatinga and cerrado of northeastern Brazil. *Jaguajir* comprises three large-bodied species from northern Brazil: *J. pintoi* from savanna formations on the Guiana Shield, and *J. agamemnon* and *J. rochae* from the caatinga and cerrado of northeastern Brazil.

Physoctonus comprises two small epigean species from the arid caatinga of northeastern Brazil, *P. debilis*, transferred to *Rhopalurus* by FRANCKE (1977) and reinstated by LOURENÇO (2007), and *P. striatus*.

Rhopalurus comprises three compact species with moderately pale coloration, from savanna formations on the Guiana Shield of northern South America, the type species, *R. laticauda*, *R. caribensis*, and *R. ochoai*.

Troglorhopalurus comprises two species from caves in northeastern Brazil, the troglobite *T. translucidus* and the troglophile *T. lacrau*, transferred from *Rhopalurus* by ESPOSITO et al. (2017).

4.3. Heteroctenus revalidated

POCOCK (1893) created Heteroctenus to accommodate three species that were, at the time, placed in Centrurus (later transferred to Centruroides), designated the Cuban species, H. junceus, as type species and noted in the description that Heteroctenus was closely allied with Centrurus. POCOCK (1902) synonymized Heteroctenus with Rhopalurus. However, in the analyses presented here, the characters on which Heteroctenus was originally defined, i.e., proximally expanded pectinal lamellae, enlarged pectinal plate, and pronounced median carina on mesosomal sternite III, were found to be synapomorphic for the Caribbean species of Rhopalurus and form the justification, in combination with additional morphological and molecular evidence, for revalidating Heteroctenus and transferring the Caribbean species to it. Additional morphological synapomorphies for the genus include: dorsal surfaces of proximal pectinal teeth with regular striations and multiple nodules, lateral margins of sternite III with smooth carina, and telson without subaculear tubercle.

The analyses presented here also clarified the status of *R. virkkii*, described from Isla Mona, an islet between Hispaniola and Puerto Rico (SANTIAGO-BLAY 2009). TER-UEL & ARMAS (2012) suggested *R. virkkii* might be synonymous with *R. abudi*, described from Isla Saona, Dominican Republic, off the southwestern coast of Hispaniola, and later reported from mainland Hispaniola (PRENDINI et al. 2009), but were unable to examine material from Isla Mona. Based on evidence presented here, *R. virkkii* is merely a pale color form of *H. abudi*, with little genetic divergence from the mainland population thereof, justifying the synonymy by Esposito et al. (2017).

The validity of four Cuban taxa, referable to *Heteroctenus*, merits further discussion. ESPOSITO et al. (2017) synonymized R. aridicola and R. melloleitaoi with H. junceus, based on the absence of convincing morphological differences or evidence of geographical isolation from the latter and, in the case of R. aridicola, on the low genetic divergence between topotypes thereof and samples conspecific with H. junceus, presented here. Rhopalurus granulimanus was synonymized with H. gibarae based on the absence of convincing morphological differences, the limited sample size, and the observation that the type localities of the two taxa are less than 25 km apart (Esposito et al. 2017). Approximately nine days after publication of these synonyms, ARMAS (2017) published a rebuttal in an online journal that claims to be peerreviewed, revalidating the three taxa synonymized by ESPOSITO et al. (2017), and formally transferring each to Heteroctonus. The arguments presented by ARMAS (2017) are unconvincing, however, for the following reasons.

In justifying the revalidation of H. aridicola, ARMAS (2017) presented four arguments, to which we respond in turn. (1) Heteroctenus aridicola differs from H. junceus based on the presence of (i) stronger metasomal carinae; (ii) a more attenuated metasoma in the male; and (iii) a very small proximal gap between the pedipalp chela fingers of the male (ARMAS 2017). In our experience, a wide range of variation in granulation/carination, metasomal width, and size of the proximal gap between the pedipalp chela fingers of the male is evident across the distribution of H. junceus, and in other widespread species of Heteroctenus, and the variation described for H. aridicola falls well within this range. As such, these characters are unreliable for species diagnosis, especially when comparing small samples. (2) Heteroctenus aridicola and H. junceus are sympatric and syntopic (ARMAS 2017). The reasoning behind this argument is circular. These concepts, by definition, assume the presence of more than one species and therefore cannot be used to justify the existence of more than one species a priori. (3) In the laboratory, H. aridicola and H. junceus are capable of interbreeding but immatures resulting from those breedings died prior to reaching adulthood, suggesting postzygotic reproductive isolation (ARMAS 2017). Failure to reach adulthood in captivity is not proof of reproductive isolation. The immatures from those breedings may have died for other reasons. Furthermore, data presented herein includes specimens matching the description of H. aridicola and collected from within the range defined for that species, yet which cannot be distinguished genetically from specimens collected elsewhere across the range of H. junceus, falsifying the hypothesis of reproductive isolation. (4) Heteroctenus aridicola is endemic to the xerophytic coastal area between Punta Negra and Punta de Maisí, whereas specimens from Santa Rosa and Baracoa appear to be accidental introductions (ARMAS 2017). This argument appears to contradict the argument based on sympatry (2) and, as stated, a sample from Baracoa was genetically indistinguishable from other samples of H. junceus, suggesting panmixis.

Concerning the synonymy of *H. melloleitaoi*, ARMAS (2017) presented three arguments, to which we respond

in turn. (1) Heteroctenus melloleitaoi was described from five localities in the Niquero Municipality of Granma Province not "a single locality" (ARMAS 2017). Nevertheless, the distance between the furthermost localities of H. melloleitaoi is less than 15 km, and all five localities occur within the Parque Nacional Desembarco del Granma, throughout which H. junceus is also distributed (ESPOSITO et al. 2017). (2) Heteroctenus melloleitaoi was collected syntopically with H. junceus not "in close proximity to many known locality records of H. junceus" (ARMAS 2017). As stated above, the reasoning behind this argument is circular. This concept, by definition, assumes the presence of more than one species and therefore cannot be used to justify the existence of more than one species a priori. (3) Heteroctenus melloleitaoi differs from H. junceus in the metasoma and pedipalps being more attenuated, mainly in the females, and the significantly higher pectinal tooth count (ARMAS 2017). As previously stated, variation in meristics and other characters is observed across the distribution of H. junceus and other widespread species of Heteroctenus. The pectinal tooth count allegedly diagnostic for H. melloleitaoi does not differ statistically from that of H. junceus.

With respect to ARMAS' (2017) criticism that the type material was not examined, it should be noted that the decisions of Esposito et al. (2017) were based on data presented in the published diagnoses and accompanying illustrations of these taxa, which are presumed to be sufficient to document the variation (indeed, L.F. de Armas and colleagues regularly publish taxonomic decisions based solely on literature and/or photographs of specimens unavailable for loan to Cuba). Based on the available evidence, the following synonyms are therefore upheld: Rhopalurus granulimanus Teruel, 2006 = Heteroctenus gibarae (Teruel, 2006); Rhopalurus melloletaoi Teruel & Armas, 2006 and Rhopalurus aridicola Teruel & Armas, 2012 = Heteroctenus junceus (Herbst, 1800). The validity of H. gibarae, as distinct from H. garridoi, will be reassessed when material becomes available for study.

4.4. Additional genera from Brazil

The species formerly assigned to *Rhopalurus* from northern and northeastern Brazil formed two clearly defined, monophyletic groups in the analyses presented here, justifying the creation of two genera by Esposito et al. (2017). *Ischnotelson* accommodates two unusual Brazilian species, *R. guanambiensis* and a second, allopatric species, which share a uniquely narrow telson in addition to fused lateral ocular, central lateral, and posterior central submedian carinae of the carapace. *Jaguajir* accommodates three morphologically diverse, large-bodied species, *R. agamemnon, R. pintoi, R. rochae*, united by the possession of fused lateral ocular and anterior central submedian carinae on the carapace.

Although unequivocally monophyletic, the phylogenetic positions of *Ischnotelson* and, to a lesser extent, Jaguajir were unstable in the analyses presented here. Whereas the two genera formed a monophyletic group with *Rhopalurus* in the separate morphological analyses, these genera were not monophyletic with one another or with Rhopalurus in the separate parsimony and likelihood analyses of the molecular dataset, which placed Ischnotelson sister to Tityus, rendering Centruroidinae paraphyletic, or in the combined analyses, which placed Ischnotelson sister to a group comprising all other Centruroidinae. The placement of Ischnotelson sister to all other centruroidine genera is the most plausible reconstruction, based on the presence of accessory denticles in the median denticle rows of the pedipalp chela fingers, an incrassate pedipalp chela manus in the adult male, and a posterior widening of the metasoma. Jaguajir was consistently placed sister to Rhopalurus or (Heteroctenus + Centruroides), except for one topology resulting from the morphological analysis, in which it rendered Heteroctenus paraphyletic. Its consistent placement sister to (*Heteroctenus* + *Centruroides*) in topologies recovered by the separate and combined analyses is the most plausible hypothesis.

The analyses presented here also clarified the status of several infrageneric taxa assigned to Jaguajir by ESPOSITO et al. (2017), the validity of which was previously confused. LOURENÇO (1982, 1984, 1986a,b, 1992, 1997) relegated R. pintoi to a subspecies of R. laticauda and synonymized R. crassicauda therewith but later (LOURENÇO & PINTO-DA-ROCHA 1997) described another species, R. piceus, from the vicinity of the type locality of R. pintoi. KOVAŘÍK (1998) listed R. pintoi at the rank of species but FET & LOWE (2000) continued to list it as a subspecies of R. laticauda in accordance with LOURENÇO (1982). LOURENÇO (2002) formally reinstated R. pintoi and removed R. crassicauda from synonymy. TERUEL (2006) suggested *R. pintoi* might be a senior synonym of R. piceus. TERUEL & TIETZ (2008) formally synonymized R. piceus, erroneously declaring R. pintoi to be a nomen nudum, and questioned whether R. crassicauda is distinct from R. laticauda. LOURENÇO (2008) suggested R. piceus may yet prove to be valid and rejected the suggestion that R. crassicauda is a junior synonym of R. laticauda, instead proposing it might be a subspecies thereof, and creating a new subspecies, R. crassicauda paruensis, along with a new subspecies of R. pintoi. PRENDINI et al. (2009), however, agreed with the synonymy of R. piceus with R. pintoi by TERUEL & TIETZ (2008), and the suggestion that R. crassicauda is probably a junior synonym of R. laticauda. The evidence and analyses presented here supported the validity of J. pintoi as distinct from R. laticauda, upheld the synonymy of R. piceus therewith, and justified the synonymy of R. piceus and R. pintoi kourouensis by Esposito et al. (2017). Rhopalurus crassicauda, on the other hand, was determined to be conspecific with R. laticauda and synonymized by Esposito et al. (2017). Additionally, R. acromelas was demonstrated to be conspecific with J. agamemnon, justifying its synonymy, and that of its previous synonyms, *Rhopalurus melleipalpus* Lutz & Mello, 1922, Rhopalurus iglesiasi Werner, 1927, Rhopalurus lambdophorus Mello-Leitão, 1932, Rhopalurus dorsomaculatus Prado, 1938, and Rhopalurus goiasensis Prado, 1940, by Esposito et al. (2017).

4.5. Physoctonus validated

Physoctonus debilis was originally placed in the nonbuthid genus *Vaejovis* C.L. Koch, 1836 but was transferred to *Rhopalurus* by BORELLI (1910) where it remained until LOURENÇO (2002) resurrected *Physoctonus*. *Physoctonus*, created to accommodate *Physoctonus physurus* Mello-Leitão, 1934, was synonymized with *Rhopalurus* when FRANCKE (1977) synonymized *P. physurus* with *Rhopalurus debilis*.

Physoctonus debilis and a second species described by ESPOSITO et al. (2017) were consistently monophyletic in the analyses presented here, justifying LOURENÇO'S (2002) decision to reinstate the genus. *Physoctonus* is supported by several morphological synapomorphies: pedipalp femur with retrolateral accessory carina; pectinal proximal dorsal fulcrae asetose; telson slightly ovate (length ca. $1.5 \times$ width), metasomal segment V without ventrosubmedian carina; sternite III surface planar, i.e., without anterior elevation.

The phylogenetic position of *Physoctonus* within Centruroidinae remains somewhat uncertain. *Physoctonus* was consistently placed sister to *Rhopalurus* in the separate analyses of the molecular data and the simultaneous analyses of the morphological and molecular data, an unexpected relationship, given the allopatric distributions of these taxa. In contrast, separate analyses of the morphological data consistently placed *Physoctonus* sister to a monophyletic group comprising all centruroidine genera except *Troglorhopalurus*.

4.6. Rhopalurus redefined

As redefined by ESPOSITO et al. (2017), *Rhopalurus* comprises only three species, *R. caribensis*, *R. laticauda*, and *R. ochoai*, united by the following morphological synapomorphies: fused central lateral and posterior central submedian carinae of the carapace, and the presence of a pecten-sternite stridulation organ (proximal pectinal teeth, dorsal surfaces without nodules but with regular striations, sternite III, ventromedian carina elevated anteriorly, ventrosubmedian surfaces forming paired depressions, finely and irregularly granular, lateral margins forming smooth, raised carina). *Rhopalurus* are savanna specialists, endemic and allopatrically distributed in savanna formations on the Guiana Shield of northern South America.

The status of *R. caribensis*, occurring in the Llanos of the Magdalena, Colombia, and separated from the nearest populations of *R. laticauda* by the Cordillera de Mérida (Andes), has been the subject of controversy. LOURENÇO (2008) suggested *R. caribensis* is a morph of *R. laticauda* rather than a distinct species. FLOREZ (2012) adopted this suggestion and synonymized *R. caribensis* with *R. laticauda* based in part on images of *Tityus* alleged to be *R. caribensis.* TERUEL & RONCALLO (2013) subsequently revalidated *R. caribensis.* The analyses presented here supported the validity of *R. caribensis* based on genetic divergence from *R. laticauda.* Although morphologically similar, the two species can be consistently diagnosed by the color pattern on the ventral surface of the metasoma. Whereas *R. caribensis* displays three distinct, narrow stripes of pigmentation along the ventral surface (a ventromedian stripe flanked on either side by a ventrosubmedian stripe), these stripes are fused into a single, broad band of pigmentation (more pronounced in populations from the southeast of the distribution, formerly referable to *R. amazonicus*) in *R. laticauda.*

Unlike R. caribensis, no evidence was found to support the continued recognition of R. amazonicus, justifying its synonymy with R. laticauda by Esposito et al. (2017). According to LOURENÇO (2008), R. amazonicus inhabits savanna "islands" surrounded by rainforest, but the genetic results presented here indicate substantial gene flow between these populations. The noticeably darker and more contrasting coloration of populations referable to R. amazonicus, compared with typical populations of R. laticauda to the north and west, was found to represent a difference in the intensity, rather than the pattern, of pigmentation. For example, these populations exhibit the single, broad band of pigmentation along the ventral surface of the metasoma, only more pronounced than observed in typical populations of R. laticauda.

The validity of R. crassicauda, another species repeatedly confused with R. laticauda, has been subject to considerable speculation (LOURENCO 1982, 2002, 2008; TERUEL & TIETZ 2008; PRENDINI et al. 2009). When LOURENÇO (1982) relegated R. pintoi to a subspecies of R. laticauda, R. crassicauda was synonymized therewith. Ten years later, when LOURENÇO (2002) reinstated R. pintoi, R. crassicauda was removed from synonymy. TER-UEL & TIETZ (2008) questioned whether R. crassicauda is distinct from R. laticauda but refrained from a formal synonymy in the absence of material for examination. LOURENÇO (2008) rejected the suggestion that R. crassicauda is a junior synonym of R. laticauda, proposing instead that it might be a subspecies thereof, and creating a new subspecies, R. crassicauda paruensis. PRENDINI et al. (2009), however, agreed with TERUEL & TIETZ (2008) that R. crassicauda is probably a junior synonym of R. lati*cauda*, and emphasized the need to clarify the distinction between R. laticauda, R. crassicauda and its subspecies. Based on the evidence presented here, R. crassicauda is indeed conspecific with R. laticauda and its subspecies, justifying its synonymy by Esposito et al. (2017).

One possible explanation for the lack of clear diagnostic characters among the species of *Rhopalurus* is the relatively short time period since the last glacial maxima, during which time the northern savannas of South America expanded and reconnected with one another (LOURENÇO 2008). Despite the limited genetic differentiation within *Rhopalurus*, a distinct group of populations, representing a previously unrecognized species, *R. ochoai*, distributed around Lake Maracaibo, east of the Cordillera de Perijá, and north and west of the Cordillera de Mérida, was identified and described by Esposito et al. (2017).

4.7. Troglorhopalurus redefined

The monotypic genus Troglorhopalurus was created to accommodate Troglorhopalurus translucidus Lourenço et al., 2004, based on a single, troglomorphic specimen from a Brazilian cave. In comparing Troglorhopalurus with Rhopalurus, LOURENÇO et al. (2004: 1153, 1156) noted that "all modifications presented by the new troglobitic scorpion are the result of adaptation to a cave dwelling life," prompting PRENDINI et al. (2009) to suggest that Troglorhopalurus might be a junior synonym of Rhopalurus. A troglophile species, Rhopalurus lacrau Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997, had been described from caves belonging to the same subterranean formation in Brazil and, in the description of Troglorhopalurus, LOURENÇO et al. (2004) suggested the relationship between these taxa should be investigated using molecular data. Accordingly, the consistent placement of R. lacrau sister to T. translucidus in the analyses presented here comes as little surprise, and justifies the transfer of R. lacrau (and its junior synonym, Rhopalurus brejo Lourenço, 2014) to Troglorhopalurus by Esposito et al. (2017).

Several morphological synapomorphies support *Troglorhopalurus*, as redefined by Esposito et al. (2017): pectinal peg sensillae elongate and acuminate; metasomal segment V elongate (length > $2.5 \times$ width); telson slightly ovate (length ca. $1.5 \times$ width); telson vesicle width approximately equal to metasomal segment V width. The metasomal and telson characters resemble characters observed in *Centruroides*, and are generally associated with elongation of the metasoma. However, these characters apparently evolved convergently in *Troglorhopalurus* and are presumed to be an adaptation to life in caves (PRENDINI et al. 2009).

4.8. Evolution of stridulation

Stridulation, defined as the emission of sound by rubbing together different parts of the body - typically a structure, appendix or projection, i.e., the *plectrum*, against a modified surface, i.e., the pars stridens - is used for intraspecific (e.g., mating behavior) and/or interspecific (e.g., defense) communication (DUMORTIER 1964a). Stridulation in scorpions is usually associated with defense behavior (DUMORTIER 1964b; ALEXANDER 1958; ACOSTA & MAURY 1990; McCormick & Polis 1990; Lourenço & CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON 1995; PRENDINI 2001a; PRENDINI et al. 2003). DUMORTIER (1964a) recognized four different types of stridulation organs in scorpions, according to the structures of which they are comprised (DUMORTIER 1964a): type I, pedipalp-leg (scorpionids of the genera Heterometrus, Pandinus Thorell, 1876 and relatives); type II, chelicera-cephalothorax (scorpionids of the genus *Opistophthalmus* C.L. Koch, 1837); type III, metasoma-aculeus (buthids of the genus *Parabuthus* Pocock, 1890); and type IV, pecten-sternite (Centruroidinae). ACOSTA & MAURY (1990) described a fifth type, in which sound is produced by the friction of tergites III–VI, in the bothriurid genus *Timogenes* Simon, 1880; a similar stridulatory apparatus was reported in another bothriurid, *Brachistosternus ehrenbergii* (Gervais, 1841) by OCHOA & OJANGUREN-AFFILASTRO (2007).

Type IV stridulation, caused by friction of nodules and striations on the dorsal surface of the pectinal teeth (*plectrum*) with granulation in depressions on the ventral surface of sternite III (*pars stridens*), was first recorded among Centruroidinae by W.J. Burchell during a visit to Brazil in 1828. Burchell observed the behavior in a species later determined by POCOCK (1904) to be *Rhopalurus borelli*, a junior synonym of *Jaguajir agamemnon* (LOURENÇO & CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON 1995). The pectensternite stridulation organ was historically regarded as a diagnostic character of *Rhopalurus* (LOURENÇO 1982; SISSOM 1990; LOURENÇO & CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON 1995; LOURENÇO et al. 2000; FET et al. 2000) but is now known to exist in several species of *Heteroctenus*, *Jaguajir*, and *Rhopalurus* (ESPOSITO et al. 2017).

Prior to the present study, the evolution of the pectensternite stridulation organ had not been investigated in a phylogenetic context (PRENDINI et al. 2009). As demonstrated by the analyses presented here, the organ is actually a complex of several characters associated with the *pars stridens* and the *plectrum*, which evolved independently in Centruroidinae. Three distinct types of pectensternite stridulation organ, first noted by Pocock (1904), may be recognized.

Type IV-A occurs in *Jaguajir*, the only genus in which audible stridulation accompanied by movement of the pectines has been observed (H.Y. Yamaguti, pers. obs.). This is the most pronounced stridulation organ. The *pars stridens* is characterized by deep lateral depressions on sternite III which are flat and coarsely granular across the surface (Fig. 3B). The pectinal lamellae are expanded proximally (Fig. 4A) such that the proximal width is more than twice the medial width. This membranous widening creates a tympanum-like structure, which might amplify the intensity of stridulation (POCOCK 1904; LOURENÇO & CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON 1995; PRENDINI et al. 2009). The pectinal teeth each possess a broad ridge on the dorsal surface (Fig. 4D), where deep, parallel striations are concentrated (Fig. 5A), creating a sinuous shape.

Type IV-B occurs in *Heteroctenus* and there are records of audible stridulation in species of the genus (POCOCK 1904; LOURENÇO et al. 2000). This stridulatory apparatus is also well developed. The *pars stridens* is characterized by fine granulation on sternite III (POCOCK 1904; Fig. 3C). Some species, such as *H. princeps*, possess lateral depressions on sternite III with irregular granulation. The pectines are expanded proximally (Fig. 4A) to varying degrees among the different species (Table 6). The striations on the dorsal surface of the pectinal teeth resemble those of Type A (Fig. 4B). Nodules on the striated surfaces of the pectinal teeth of *Heteroctenus* species may serve to increase the striated area (Fig. 5B), perhaps enhancing the audibility of stridulation (LOURENÇO et al. 2000). However, as the nodules are also found in *I. guanambiensis*, which does not possess a stridulation organ, their presence may not be directly related to stridulation.

Type IV-C occurs in *Rhopalurus*. This is the least developed type of stridulation organ (Pocock 1904; TERUEL 2006; TERUEL & RONCALLO 2008). The *pars stridens* is characterized by fine granulation on sternite III with shallower sternite depressions than observed in Types IV-A and IV-B (Fig. 3D). The pectinal lamellae are only slightly expanded proximally, such that the proximal width is about $1.5 \times$ the medial width (Figs. 4C,E). The dorsal surfaces of the pectinal teeth are flat (without a ridge) and the striations, although well defined, are less developed than in Types IV-A and IV-B (Fig. 5C). There are no records of pectinal movement or sound production in *Rhopalurus* species, despite the presence of a stridulation organ.

5. Conclusions

This study presents the first rigorous test of the monophyly of Centruroidinae and its component taxa, based on phylogenetic analysis of morphological characters and DNA sequence data from multiple gene loci. The benefits of integrating and simultaneously analysing diverse sources of data are evident in the insights gained concerning the monophyly, diagnostic characters and distributions of these scorpions from the species level on up. The need for improved understanding of the classification of Centruroidinae cannot be understated. Their unique stridulation ability, disjunct biogeographical distributions, and the medical potential of their venoms offer promise for future evolutionary, biogeographical and biomedical research. Their distribution in some of the most environmentally sensitive areas of the world, e.g., savanna surrounding the Amazon rainforest, make them prime candidates for studies on the impact of recent and future climate change.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following for assisting with fieldwork and/or donating material used in the study: F. Almeida, W. Altmann, A. Ballesteros, S.E. Bazo Abreu, M. Blanco, F. Cala-Riquelme, P. Carreras, L.S. Carvalho, C.S. Chaboo, M.B. da Silva, A. Deler-Hernández, A. Ferrer, L. Figueroa, S. Foghin, O.F. Francke, W. Galvis, A. Giupponi, E. González-Santillán, the late M.A. González-Sponga, K. Guerrero, D. Huber, S. Huber, J. Huff, R. Indicatti, M. Kuntner, A. Kury, S. Longhorn, J.M. Maes, C.I. Mattoni, F. Marques, G. Molisani, H. Montaño, J.A. Moreno, J.A. Ochoa, A.A. Ojanguren-Affilastro, S. Outeda-Jorge, R. Paredes, A. Peretti, T.J. Porto, R.S. Recoder, F. Rojas-Runjaic, D. Schiff, C. Siederman, M.E. Soleglad, J. Soriano, P. Sprouse, M. Teixeira-Júnior, R. Teruel, A. Tietz, L. Tiko, A. Valdez, W. Vargas, G. Villegas, C. Viquez, E.S. Volschenk, D. Vrech, R.C. West, P. Weygoldt, F. Yamamoto, A. Yepez, and M. Zerda; curators and collections managers at MNHN, NM, OUM-NH, SAM, UFMG, ZMB, and ZMH for loans of material or access to the collections during our visits; E.S. Volschenk for the use of unpublished morphological characters; C. Kamenz for the use of unpublished scanning electron micrographs; E. Mattos for assisting HYY with scanning electron micrography; D. Casellato, P. Rubi and T. Sharma for generating some of the DNA sequence data at the AMNH; S. Thurston for assisting with preparation of the figures; and F. Marques, G. Marroig, S. Nihei, J.A. Ochoa, and A. Pepato for comments on the PhD dissertation of HYY. LAE was supported by a U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) GK-12 Fellowship, a City University of New York (CUNY)/NSF AGEP Grant, a CUNY Presidential Fellowship, a CUNY College Now Fellowship, and an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship (1003087). HYY was supported by Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa no Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) grant #2006/61022. Funding for this research was provided by a grant from the Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Fund of the AMNH to LAE, an Ernst Mayr Award from the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, to LAE, an NSF Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant (DEB 0910147) to LP and LAE, FAPESP grant #2007/54498-8 to RPR, NSF grant DEB 0413453 to LP, and a grant from the Richard Lounsbery Foundation, to LP. We thank O. Francke, L. Herman, T. Pape and M. Zarazaga for discussions and advice concerning the priority of Centruroidinae, L. Herman for detailed research, incorporated in Appendix 1, which helped to resolve the question for us, and reviewers O. Francke and D. Quintero, and editors, S. Richter and K.-D. Klass, for other helpful comments on previous drafts of the manuscript.

7. References

- Acosta L.E., MAURY E.A. 1990. Estridulacion em *Timogenes elegans* (Mello-Leitão) (Scorpiones, Bothriuridae). – Boletín de la Sociedad de Biología de Concepción, Chile 61: 29–37.
- AKAIKE H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. – Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Budapest: Akademiai Kiado, pp. 267– 281.
- ALEXANDER A.J. 1958. On the stridulation of scorpions. Behaviour **12**(4): 339–352.
- ARMAS L.F. de 2017. Revalidation of three recently synonymized Cuban species of *Heteroctenus* Pocock, 1893 (Scorpiones: Buthidae: Centruroidinae). – Euscorpius 248: 1–3.
- ARNEDO M.A., OROMI P., RIBERA C. 2002. Radiation of the spider genus *Dysdera* (Araneae, Dysderidae) in the Canary Islands: Cladistic analysis based on multiple data sets. – Cladistics 17: 313–353.
- BANKS N. 1900. Synopses of North American invertebrates. IX. The scorpions, solpugids and Pedipalpi. American Naturalist **34**: 421–427.
- BORELLI A. 1910. Scorpioni nuovi e poco noti del Brasile. Bollettino dei Musei di Zoologia ed Anatomia Comparata della Realle Università di Torino 25(629): 1–8.
- BORGES A., GRAHAM M.R. 2016. Phylogenetics of scorpions of medical importance. Pp. 81–104 in GOPALAKRISHNAKONE P., CAL-VETE J.J. (eds), Venom Genomics and Proteomics. – Dordrecht: Springer, Netherlands.
- BOTERO-TRUJILLO, R., NORIEGA J.A. 2011. On the identity of *Micro-ananteris*, with a discussion on pectinal morphology, and description of a new *Ananteris* from Brazil (Scorpiones, Buthi-dae). Zootaxa 2747(1): 37–52.
- BRAUNWALDER M.E., FET V. 1998. On publications about scorpions (Arachnida, Scorpiones) by Hemprich and Ehrenberg (1828– 1831). – Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society **11**(1): 29– 35.
- BÜCHERL W. 1971. Classification, biology and venom extraction of scorpions. Pp. 317–348 in: BÜCHERL W., BUCKLEY E.R. (eds),

Venomous Animals and their Venoms, 3. – Academic Press, New York.

- CHÁVEZ-HARO A.L., ORTIZ E. 2015. Scorpionism and dangerous species of Mexico. Pp. 201–213 in: GOPALAKRISHNAKONE P., POSSANI L.D., SCHWARTZ E.F, RODRÍGUEZ DE LA VEGA R.C. (eds), Scorpion Venoms. – Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- CODDINGTON J.A., GIRIBET G., HARVEY M.S., PRENDINI L., WALTER D.E. 2004. Arachnida. Pp. 296–318 in: CRACRAFT J., DONOGHUE M.J. (eds), Assembling the Tree of Life. – Oxford University Press, New York.
- DEHESA-DAVILA M., POSSANI L.D. 1994. Scorpionism and serotherapy in Mexico. – Toxicon 32(9): 1015–1018.
- DUMORTIER B. 1964a. Morphology of sound emission apparatus in Arthropoda. Pp. 277–345 in: BUSNEL R.G. (ed.), Acoustic Behaviour of Animals. – Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- DUMORTIER B. 1964b. Ethological and physiological study of sound emissions in Arthropoda. Pp. 583–654 in: BUSNEL R.G. (ed.), Acoustic Behaviour of Animals. – Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- EHRENBERG C.G. 1829. In: HEMPRICH F.W., EHRENBERG C.G., Vorläufige Uebersicht der in Nord-Afrika und West-Asien einheimischen Skorpione und deren geographischen Verbreitung. – Verhandungen der Gesellschaft Naturforschende Freunde in Berlin 1(6): 348–362.
- ESPOSITO L.A. 2011. Systematics and biogeography of the New World scorpion genus *Centruroides* Marx, 1890 (Scorpiones: Buthidae). – PhD Dissertation, City University of New York, 308 pp.
- ESPOSITO L.A., YAMAGUTI H.Y., SOUZA C.A., PINTO-DA-ROCHA R., PRENDINI L. 2017. Systematic revision of the neotropical clubtailed scorpions, *Physoctonus, Rhopalurus*, and *Troglorhopalurus*, resurrection of *Heteroctenus*, and description of two new genera and three new species (Buthidae: Rhopalurusinae). – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History **415**: 1–134.
- FET V. 2000. Family Scorpionidae Latreille, 1802. Pp. 427–486 in: FET V., SISSOM W.D., LOWE G., BRAUNWALDER M.E., Catalog of the Scorpions of the World (1758–1998). – The New York Entomological Society, New York.
- FET V., LOWE G. 2000. Family Buthidae C. L. Koch, 1837. Pp. 54–286 in: FET V., SISSOM W.D., LOWE G., BRAUNWALDER M.E., Catalog of the Scorpions of the World (1758–1998). – The New York Entomological Society, New York.
- FET V., GANTENBEIN. B., GROMOV A.V., LOWE G., LOURENÇO W.R. 2003a. The first molecular phylogeny of Buthidae (Scorpiones). – Euscorpius 4: 1–10.
- FET V., PETERSEN M.E., SLYUSAREV G.S. 2003b. Case 3151. Rhopalurusinae Bücherl, 1971 (Arachnida, Scorpiones, Buthidae): Proposed conservation as the correct spelling to remove homonymy with Rhopaluridae Stunkard, 1937 (Orthonectida). – Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 60(1): 23–25.
- FET V., SOLEGLAD M.E., LOWE G. 2005. A new trichobothrial character for the high-level systematics of Buthoidea (Scorpiones: Buthida). – Euscorpius 23: 1–40.
- FLÓREZ E. 2012. Rhopalurus caribensis is a synonym of Rhopalurus laticauda (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Revista Colombiana de Entomología 38(2): 365–367.
- FRANCKE O.F. 1977. Two emendations to Stahnke's (1974) Vaejovidae revision (Scorpionida, Vaejovidae). – Journal of Arachnology 4: 125–135.
- FRANCKE O.F. 1985. Conspectus genericus scorpionorum 1758– 1982 (Arachnida: Scorpiones). – Occasional Papers of the Museum, Texas Tech University 98: 1–32.
- FROY O., SAGIV T., POREH M., URBACH D., ZILBERBERG N., GUREVITZ M. 1999. Dynamic diversification from a putative common ancestor of scorpion toxins affecting sodium, potassium, and chloride channels. – Journal of Molecular Evolution 48(2): 187–196.
- GANTENBEIN B., FET V., BARKER M.D. 2001 Mitochondrial DNA reveals a deep, divergent phylogeny in *Centruroides exilicauda* (Wood, 1863) (Scorpiones: Buthidae). Pp. 235–244 in: FET V., SELDEN P.A. (eds), Scorpions 2001: In Memoriam Gary A. Polis. – The British Arachnological Society, Burnham Beeches, Bucks.

- GIRIBET G., EDGECOMBE G.D., WHEELER W.C. 2001. Arthropod phylogeny based on eight molecular loci and morphology. – Nature **413**: 157–161.
- GOLOBOFF P.A. 1993. Estimating character weights during tree search. Cladistics 9: 83–91.
- GOLOBOFF P.A. 1999. Analyzing large data sets in reasonable times: Solutions for composite optima. – Cladistics **15**: 415–428.
- GOLOBOFF P.A., FARRIS S., NIXON K. 2000. TNT (Tree analysis using New Technology), version BETA 2, January 2004. Published by the authors.
- GOLOBOFF P.A., FARRIS J.S., KÄLLERSJÖ M., OXELMAN B., RAMÍREZ M., SZUMIK C.A. 2003. Improvements to resampling measures of group support. – Cladistics 19: 324–332.
- HARRISON R.G., RAND D.M., WHEELER, W.C. 1987. Mitochondrial DNA variation in field crickets across a narrow hybrid zone. – Molecular Biology and Evolution 24: 363–371.
- HAYASHI C.Y. 1996. Molecular systematics of spiders: Evidence from ribosomal DNA. – Unpublished PhD Dissertation, Yale, New Haven, CT.
- HILLIS D.M., DIXON M.T. 1991. Ribosomal DNA: Molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. – Quarterly Review of Biology 66: 411–453.
- HJELLE J.T. 1990. Anatomy and morphology. Pp. 56–63 in: Polis G. (ed.), The Biology of Scorpions. Stanford University Press, Stanford.
- HOFFMANN C.C. 1932. Monografias para la entomología médica de México. Monografia Num. 2, Los escorpiones de México. Segunda parte: Buthidae. – Anales del Instituto de Biologia Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México 3: 243–361.
- HUELSENBECK J.P., RONQUIST F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. – Bioinformatics 17(8): 754–755.
- ICZN (INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION OF ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE) 1986. Opinion 1394. *Centrurus limpidus* Karsch, 1879 and *Centruroides ornatus* Pocock, 1902 (Arachnida, Scorpiones): Conserved. – Bulletin of the Zoological Nomenclature **43**(2): 144– 145.
- JAUME M.L. 1954. Catálogo de la fauna cubana. IV. Catálogo de los Scorpionida de Cuba. – Circulares del Museo y Biblioteca de Zoología de La Habana (Mimeograph): 1035–1092.
- JERAM A.J. 1994. Carboniferous Orthosterni and their relationship to living scorpions. Paleontology **37**(3): 203–470.
- JERAM A.J. 1998. Phylogeny, classification and evolution of Silurian and Devonian scorpions. Pp. 17–31 in: SELDEN P.A. (ed.), Proceedings of the 17th European Colloquium of Arachnology, Edinburgh, 1997. – British Arachnological Society, Burnham Beeches, Bucks.
- KAMENZ C., PRENDINI L. 2008. An atlas of book lung fine structure in the order Scorpiones (Arachnida). – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History **316**: 1–359.
- KARSCH F. 1879a. Scorpionologische Beiträge. Part I. Mitteilungen des Münchener Entomologischen Vereins **3**: 6–22.
- KARSCH F. 1879b. Scorpionologische Beiträge. Part II. Mitteilungen des Münchener Entomologischen Vereins 3: 97–136.
- KATOH K., KUMA K., TOH H., MIYATA T. 2005. MAFFT version 5: Improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. – Nucleic Acids Research 33: 511–518.
- KOCH C.L. 1837. Uebersicht des Arachnidensystems. C.H. Zeh' sche Buchhandlung, Nürnberg 1: 1–39 (Scorpiones: pp. 36–39).
- KOCH C.L. 1838. Die Arachniden. C.H. Zeh'sche Buchhandlung, Nürnberg 4(6): 109–144.
- Kovaňík F. 1998. Štíři [Scorpions]. Madagaskar, Jihlava. 175 pp. [in Czech]
- KRAEPELIN K. 1891. Revision der Skorpione. I. Die Familie Androctonidae. – Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten 8: 1–144.
- KRAEPELIN K. 1894. Revision der Scorpione. II. Scorpionidae und Bothriuridae. – Beiheft zum Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten 11: 1–248.
- KRAEPELIN K. 1898. Neue Pedipalpen und Skorpione des Hamburger Museums. – Mitteilungen aus dem Naturhistorischen Museum

PEKÁR S. (eds), Proceedings of the 18th European Colloquium of

Arachnology, Stará Lesná, 1999. – Ekológia (Bratislava) 19(3):

bitic scorpions: A new genus and species from Brazil. - Comptes

tem for evolutionary analysis. Version 2.73. - Published by the

LOURENÇO W.R., BAPTISTA R.L.C., GIUPPONI A.P.L. 2004. Troglo-

MADDISON W.P., MADDISON D.R. 2010. Mesquite: A modular sys-

MARX G. 1889 [1890]. Arachnida. In: HOWARD L.O. (ed.), Scientific

results of the explorations by the U.S. Fish Commission Steamer

Albatross. No. V. Annotated Catalogue of the insects collected in

1887-'88. - Proceedings of the United States National Museum

McCormick S.J., Polis G.A. 1990. Prey, predators and parasites. Pp. 294–320 in: Polis G. (ed.), The Biology of Scorpions. –

MEISE W. 1934. Scorpiones. - Nyt Magazin for Naturvidenskab-

MELLO-LEITÃO C.F. DE 1945. Escorpiões Sul Americanos. - Arquiv-

MILLER M.A., HOLDER M.T., VOS R., MIDFORD P.E., LIEBOWITZ T.,

CHAN L., HOOVER P., WARNOW T. 2009. The CIPRES Portals.

MILLER J.R., KOREN S., SUTTON G. 2010. Assembly algorithms for next-generation sequencing data. – Genomics 95(6): 315–327.

NIXON K.C. 1999. The Parsimony Ratchet, a new method for rapid

NYLANDER J.A.A. 2004. MrModeltest v2. - Program distributed by

the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University. NyLANDER J.A.A., WILGENBUSH J.C., WARREN D.L., SWOFFORD D.L.

2008. AWTY (Are We There Yet?): A system for graphical ex-

ploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. -

parsimony analysis. - Cladistics 15: 407-414.

CIPRES. Available at http://www.phylo.org/sub_sections/portal.

Rendus Biologies 327: 1151-1156.

Stanford University Press, Stanford.

os do Museu Nacional 40: 1-468.

authors, available at http://mesquiteproject.org.

141 - 144

12(1): 207-211.

erne 72: 25-43.

(2. Beiheft zum Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftlichen Anstalten, 1897) **15**: 39–44.

- KRAEPELIN K. 1899. Scorpiones und Pedipalpi. In: DAHL F. (ed.), Das Tierreich 8. – R. Friedländer und Sohn Verlag, Berlin (Arachnoidea). 265 pp.
- KRAUS O. 1955. Escorpiones de El Salvador. Comunicaciones del Instituto Tropical de Investigaciones Científicas de la Universidad de El Salvador 4(3/4): 101–104.
- LAMORAL B.H. 1978. Systematics and bionomics of the scorpions of South West Africa (Arachnida, Scorpionida). – PhD Thesis, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.
- LAMORAL B.H. 1979. The scorpions of Namibia (Arachnida: Scorpionida). – Annals of the Natal Museum 23(3): 497–784.
- LAMORAL B.H. 1980. Two new psammophile species and new records of scorpions from the northern Cape Province of South Africa (Arachnida: Scorpionida). – Annals of the Natal Museum 24: 201–210.
- LENARDUCCI Â.R.I., PINTO-DA-ROCHA R., LUCAS S.M. 2005. Descrição de uma nova espécie de *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae) do nordeste brasileiro. Biota Neotropica 5(1A): 173–180.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1979. A propos de la veritable identité des genres *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1879 et *Centruroides* Marx, 1889 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). Revue Arachnologique **2**(5): 213–219.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1981. Estudo da variabilidade do caráter número de dentes dos pentes nos escorpiões *Tityus cambridgei* Pocock 1897 e *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876. Revista Brasileira de Biologia **41**(3): 545–548.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1982. Révision du genre *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Revue Arachnologique **4**: 107–141.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1984 Complementary notes on the systematics of the genus *Rhopalurus* for the Caribbean area (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Revista Brasileira de Biologia **44**(2): 169–170.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1986a. Biogéographie et phylogénie des scorpions du genre *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Mémoires de la Société Royale Belge d'Entomologie **33**: 129–137.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1986b. La vicariance biogéographique chez les scorpions néotropicaux. – Bulletin d'Écologie 17(3): 161–172.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1992. Les peuplements des scorpions des Antilles; facteurs historiques et écologiques en association avec les stratégies démographiques. – Studies of Neotropical Fauna Environment **27**(1): 43–62.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 1997. Additions à la faune de scorpions néotropicaux (Arachnida). – Revue Suisse Zoologie **104**(3): 587–604.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 2002. Scorpions of Brazil. Les Éditions de l'If. 306 pp.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 2006. Nouvelle proposition de découpage sousgénérique du genre *Tityus* C.L. Koch, 1836 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Boletín Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa **39**: 55–67.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 2007. New considerations on the taxonomic status of the genus *Physoctonus* Mello-Leitão, 1934 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Boletín Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa **40**: 359–365.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 2008. The geographic pattern of distribution of the genus *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 in the Guayana-Amazon region (Scorpiones: Buthidae). Euscorpius **73**: 1–14.
- LOURENÇO W.R. 2014. The genus *Rhopalurus* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae) in northeast Brazil; a possible case of a vicariant species. Acta Biologica Paranaense 43: 69–76.
- LOURENÇO W.R., CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON J.L. 1995. Stridulatory organ and the evolutionary significance of sound production in *Rhopalurus* species (Scorpiones: Buthidae). – Journal of Arid Environments **31**: 423–429.
- LOURENÇO W.R., PINTO-DA-ROCHA R. 1997. A reappraisal of the geographic distribution of the genus *Rhopalurus* Thorell (Scorpiones, Buthidae) and description of two new species. – Biogeographica 73(4): 181–191.
- LOURENÇO W.R., HUBER D., CLOUDSLEY-THOMPSON J.L. 2000. Description of the stridulatory apparatus in some species of the genus *Rhopalurus* Thorell (Scorpiones: Buthidae). In: GAJDO P.,

nie des scorpions Bioinformatics 24: 581–583.

- OCHOA J.A., OJANGUREN-AFFILASTRO A.A. 2007. Systematics and distribution of *Brachistosternus* (*Brachistosternus*) ehrenbergii (Gervais, 1841), with the first record of stridulation in this genus *Brachistosternus* (Scorpiones: Bothriuridae). – Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 42(1): 61–69.
- OJANGUREN-AFFILASTRO A.A., ADILARDI R.S., MATTONI C.I., RAMÍREZ M.J., CECCARELLI F.S. 2017. Dated phylogenetic studies of the southernmost American buthids (Scorpiones; Buthidae). – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 110: 39–49.
- PATTENGALE N.D., ALIPOUR M., BININDA-EMONDS O.R.P., MORET B.M.E., GOTTLIEB E.J., STAMATAKIS A. 2010. How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? – Journal of Computational Biology 17(3): 337–354.
- PETERS W. 1861. Ueber eine neue Eintheilung der Skorpione und ueber die von ihm in Mossambique gesammelten Arten von Skorpionen. – Monatsberichte der Königlichen Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1861: 507–516.
- POCOCK R.I. 1890. A revision of the genera of scorpions of the family Buthidae, with description of some South-African species. – Proceedings of the Zoological Society **1890**: 114–141.
- POCOCK R.I. 1893. Contribution to our knowledge of the arthropod fauna of the West Indies. Part I. Scorpiones and Pedipalpi, with a supplementary note upon freshwater Decapoda of St. Vincent. Journal of the Linnaean Society **24**: 374–409.
- POCOCK R.I. 1898. Descriptions of some new scorpions from Central and South America. – Annals and Magazine of Natural History (Ser.7) 1: 384–394.
- POCOCK R.I. 1902. Arachnida. Scorpiones, Pedipalpi, and Solifugae. Biologia Centrali-Americana. – Taylor and Francis, London, 71 pp.
- POCOCK R.I. 1904. On a new stridulating-organ in scorpions discovered by W. J. Burchell in Brazil in 1828. – Annals and Magazine of Natural History (Ser.7) **13**(73): 56–62.
- PRENDINI L. 2000. Phylogeny and classification of the superfamily Scorpionoidea Latreille 1802 (Chelicerata, Scorpiones): An exemplar approach. – Cladistics 16: 1–78.

PRENDINI L. 2001a. Phylogeny of *Parabuthus* (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Zoologica Scripta **30**(1): 13–35.

- PRENDINI L. 2001b. Species or supraspecific taxa as terminals in cladistic analysis? Groundplans versus exemplars revisited. – Systematic Biology 50(2): 290–300.
- PRENDINI L. 2003a. A new genus and species of bothriurid scorpion from the Brandberg Massif, Namibia, with a reanalysis of bothriurid phylogeny and a discussion of the phylogenetic position of *Lisposoma* Lawrence. – Systematic Entomology 28(2): 149–172.
- PRENDINI L. 2003b. Discovery of the male of *Parabuthus muelleri*, and implications for the phylogeny of *Parabuthus* (Scorpiones: Buthidae). – American Museum Novitates **3408**: 1–24.
- PRENDINI L. 2004. The systematics of Southern African *Parabuthus* Pocock (Scorpiones, Buthidae): Revisions to the taxonomy and key to the species. – Journal of Arachnology **32**: 109–186.
- PRENDINI L., CROWE T.M., WHEELER W.C. 2003. Systematics and biogeography of the family Scorpionidae Latreille, with a discussion of phylogenetic methods. – Invertebrate Systematics 17(2): 185–259.
- PRENDINI L., ESPOSITO L.A., HUFF J., VOLSCHENK E.S. 2009. Redescription of *Rhopalurus abudi* (Scorpiones, Buthidae), with first description of the male and first record from mainland Hispaniola. – Journal of Arachnology 37: 206–224.
- RAMBAUT A., DRUMMOND A.J. 2007. Tracer v1.4. Published by the authors, available from http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
- RONQUIST F., HUELSENBECK J.P. 2003. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. – Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574.
- ROEWER C.F. 1943. Uber eine neuerworbene Sammlung von Skorpionen des NaturMuseums Senckenberg. – Senckenbergiana 26(4): 205–244.
- SANDERSON M.J., SHAFFER H.B. 2002. Troubleshooting molecular phylogenetic analyses. – Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics 33: 49–72.
- SANTIAGO-BLAY J.A. 2009. Systematics and some aspects of the biology of the scorpions (Arachnida) of the Greater Puerto Rico Region: A biosystematic synopsis. – Entomological News 120(1): 109–124.
- SHARMA P.P., FERNÁNDEZ R., ESPOSITO L.A., GONZÁLEZ-SANTILLÁN E., MONOD L. 2015. Phylogenomic resolution of scorpions reveals multilevel discordance with morphological phylogenetic signal. – Proceedings of the Royal Society B 282(1804): 20142953.
- SISSOM W.D. 1990. Systematics, biogeography and paleontology. Pp. 64–160 in: POLIS G. (ed.), The Biology of Scorpions. – Stanford University Press, Stanford.
- SISSOM W.D., LOURENÇO W.R. 1987. The genus *Centruroides* in South America (Scorpiones, Buthidae). – Journal of Arachnology 15(1): 11–28.
- SMITH S.A., DUNN C.W. 2008. Phyutility: A phyloinformatics tool for trees, alignments, and molecular data. – Bioinformatics 24: 715–716.
- SolegLAD M.E., FET V. 2001. Evolution of scorpion orthobothriotaxy – a cladistic approach. – Euscorpius 1: 1–38.
- SOLEGLAD M.E., SISSOM W.D. 2001. Phylogeny of the family Euscorpiidae Laurie, 1896: A major revision. Pp. 25–111 in: FET V., SELDEN P.A. (eds), Scorpions 2001: In Memoriam Gary A. Polis. – British Arachnological Society, Burnham Beeches, Bucks.
- SOLEGLAD M.E., FET V. 2003. High-level systematics and phylogeny of the extant scorpions (Scorpiones: Orthosterni). – Euscorpius 11: 1–175.
- STAHNKE H.L. 1970. Scorpion nomenclature and mensuration. Entomological News 81: 297–316.
- STAMATAKIS A. 2006. RAXML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. – Bioinformatics 22(21): 2688–2690.

- STOCKWELL S.A. 1989. Revision of the phylogeny and higher classification of scorpions (Chelicerata). – Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.
- TERUEL R. 2006. Apuntes sobre la taxonomía y biogeografía del género *Rhopalurus* Thorell 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae), con la descripción de dos nuevas especies de Cuba. Boletín Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa **38**: 43–56.
- TERUEL R., DE ARMAS L.F. 2006. Un nuevo *Rhopalurus* Thorell 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae) de Cuba Oriental. – Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa **39**: 175–179.
- TERUEL R., DE ARMAS L.F. 2012. Nueva espécie de *Rhopalurus* Thorell 1876 de Cuba oriental, con algunas consideraciones sobre sus congéneres antillanos (Scorpiones: Buthidae). – Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa 50: 209–217.
- TERUEL R., RONCALLO C.A. 2008. Rare or poorly known scorpions from Colombia. III. On the taxonomy and distribution of *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae), with description of a new species of the genus. Euscorpius **68**: 1–12.
- TERUEL R., RONCALLO C.A. 2013. Is *Rhopalurus caribensis* Teruel and Roncallo, 2008, actually a junior synonym of *Rhopalurus laticauda* Thorell, 1876 (Scorpiones: Buthidae)? A necessary reply. – Revista Ibérica de Aracnología 23: 112–114.
- TERUEL R., TIETZ A.K. 2008. The true identity of *Rhopalurus pintoi* Mello-Leitão, 1932, with notes on the status and distribution of *Rhopalurus crassicauda* Caporiacco, 1947 (Scorpiones: Buthidae). – Euscorpius **70**: 1–14.
- TERUEL R., FET V., GRAHAM M.R. 2006. The first mitochondrial DNA phylogeny of Cuban Buthidae (Scorpiones: Buthoidea). – Boletín Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa 39: 219–226.
- THOMSON R.C., SHAFFER H.B. 2010. Rapid progress on the vertebrate tree of life. – BMC Biology 8: 19.
- THORELL T. 1876. On the classification of scorpions. Annals and Magazine of Natural History **4**(17): 1–15.
- THORELL T., LINDSTRÖM G. 1885. On a Silurian scorpion from Gotland. – Bihang till Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar 21(9): 1–33.
- THORLEY J.L., PAGE R.D. 2000. Radcon: Phylogenetic tree comparison and consensus. Bioinformatics 16: 486–487.
- VACHON M. 1952. Études sur les Scorpions. Institut Pasteur d'-Algérie, Alger. 482 pp.
- VACHON M. 1973 [1974]. Étude des caractères utilisés pour classer les familles et les genres de scorpions (Arachnides). 1. La trichobothriotaxie en arachnologie. Sigles trichobothriaux et types de trichobothriotaxie chez les scorpions. – Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 3(140): 857–958.
- VOLSCHENK E.S. 2005. A new technique for examining surface morphosculpture of scorpions. – Journal of Arachnology 33(3): 820– 825.
- VOLSCHENK E.S., MATTONI C.I., PRENDINI L. 2008. Comparative anatomy of the mesosomal organs of scorpions (Chelicerata, Scorpiones), with implications for the phylogeny of the order. – Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 154: 651–675.
- WAHLBERG N., ZIMMERMANN M. 2000. Pattern of phylogenetic relationships among members of the tribe Melitaeini (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). – Cladistics 16: 347–363.
- WERNER F. 1939. Ueber einige Skorpione aus dem Museum Alexander Koenig. – Festschrift 60. Geburtstag von Professor Embrik Strand 5: 361–362.
- YANG Z. 1994. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: approximate methods. – Journal of Molecular Evolution 39(3): 306–314.

8. Appendix 1

Arguments justifying why Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955, not Centrurinae Koch, 1837, should be in Buthidae C.L. Koch, 1837, whereas Centrurinae Koch, 1837 should be in Scorpionidae Latreille, 1802.

Centrurus Ehrenberg, 1829: 350, originally published with a brief description, is an available name (Article 12.1) regardless of the absence of included species.

The first and only included available species was *Centrurus galbineus* Koch, 1838: 110–112, pl. CXXXIX, fig. 320, fixing it as the type species of *Centrurus* by subsequent monotypy. KOCH (1838) described and illustrated the species, which is evidently an immature *Heterometrus*, and indicated that the locality was unknown. FET & LOWE (2000: 434) reported the type lost.

KRAEPELIN (1894: 34) synonymized *C. galbineus* with *Heterometrus longimanus* (Herbst, 1800), hence *Centrurus* became a junior synonym of *Heterometrus* Ehrenberg, 1828, fixing its current placement in Scorpioninae Latreille, 1802 (Article 61).

KOCH (1837: 38) published and characterized "Centrurides" for two genera, *Centrurus* and *Vaejovis* C.L. Koch, 1836. Centrurides is an unlatinized, vernacular, family-group name formed from *Centrurus*, which is the type genus (Article 12.2.4). Without latinization, the name is unavailable (Article 11.7.2).

Centrurides was latinized as Centrurini and generally accepted as valid by subsequent authors (e.g., see PETERS 1861: 512; THORELL 1876: 7; THORELL & LIND-STRÖM 1885: 25; KRAEPELIN 1891: 149), hence Centrurini Koch, 1837 was then available (Article 11.7.2). KRAEPE-LIN (1899) later changed the suffix from that of a tribe to that of a subfamily, Centrurinae.

Centrurini or Centrurinae, when cited in Buthidae C.L. Koch, 1837, are misidentifications, not synonymous with Buthidae (FET & LOWE 2000: 55, 56).

The correct placement of Centrurini or Centrurinae is in Scorpionidae, where Centrurinae should be cited as a synonym of the nominotypical subfamily Scorpioninae (FET 2000: 427).

The type species of *Centrurus* is a junior synonym of *Heterometrus longimanus* (Herbst, 1800) (= *Scorpio longimanus*) (KRAEPELIN 1894: 34; FET 2000: 434), hence *Centrurus* is a junior synonym of *Heterometrus* Ehrenberg, 1828 (FET 2000: 431).

Centrurus is not a senior synonym of *Centruroides* or a *nomen nudum* as FRANCKE (1985) suggested (see also ICZN 1986: 144, 145).

Given the preferred classification of *Centrurus* as a synonym of *Heterometrus* in the Scorpioninae, the family-group name based on *Centrurus* will necessarily have to be applied (as valid or as a synonym) in the Scorpioninae (Article 61).

The Principle of Typification renders it impossible for Centrurinae or Centrurini to be assigned to any family other than the one that harbors *Centrurus galbineus*. MARX (1890: 211) established *Centruroides* by including one available species, *Buthus exilicauda* Wood, 1863 (Article 12.2.5). *Centruroides* was proposed as a genus in its own right, not as a replacement name for *Centrurus*. However, due to a misunderstanding of *Centrurus*, the name was often used to refer to species that belonged in *Centruroides* and the latter was erroneously considered a junior synonym of the former. That misunderstanding appears to have precipitated the idea that Centrurinae was the correct name of the buthid subfamily.

Early usages of Centruroidinae were invalid because the taxon was undescribed and the name accordingly unavailable (ROEWER 1943: 218; JAUME 1954: 1087). Centruroidinae became available when KRAUS (1955: 101) published a brief description in a footnote. Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955 is the correct name, not Centrurinae, Rhopalurinae or Rhopalurusinae.

Article 40.1 states that "When the name of a type genus of a nominal family-group taxon is considered to be a junior synonym of the name of another nominal genus, the family-group name is not to be replaced on that account alone." It might therefore be argued that Article 40.1 does not make a distinction regarding whether or not the junior synonym belongs to the same family, and therefore requires Centrurinae to be the correct name (O.F. Francke, pers. comm.). This argument overlooks The Principal of Typification (Article 61), however, which makes clear that the type of a name determines its placement in a classification and the type of a name cannot be separated from the name.

Article 40.2 states that "If, however, a family-group name was replaced before 1961 because of the synonymy of the type genus, the substitute name is to be maintained if it is in prevailing usage." The following arguments have been offered to justify that the concept of *Centrurus* and Centrurinae was always in Buthidae, not Scorpionidae, and Centruroidinae is not in prevailing usage (O.F. Francke, pers. comm.):

1. Between 1876 and 1900, eighteen species where described in *Centrurus* by THORELL (1876; n = 4), KARSCH (1879a,b; n = 2), KRAEPELIN (1891, 1898; n = 2), POCOCK (1898; n = 9) and BANKS (1900; n = 1). All were described in Buthidae, and today are in *Centruroides* and remain in Buthidae. The concept back then of *Centrurus* was of a buthid not a scorpionid. KRAEPELIN (1891) proposed subfamily Centrurini within Buthidae and KRAEPELIN (1899) used the proper ending for a subfamily, Centrurinae, five years after synonymizing *Centrurus galbineus* (KRAEPELIN 1894); the subfamily concept therefore remained within Buthidae.

2. The species known today as *Centruroides gracilis* (Latreille, 1804) was published as the combination *Centrurus gracilis* by nineteen different authors, always within Buthidae. Similarly, the species known today as *Centruroides margaritatus* (Gervais, 1841) was published as *Centrurus margaritatus* twenty times, always within Buthidae.

3. Centruroidinae was used by only four authors between 1943 and 1998 (FET & LOWE 2000) whereas Centrurinae was used 23 times.

The argument that the concept of *Centrurus* and Centrurinae has always been in Buthidae neglects the identity and placement of the type species of *Centrurus* and type genus of Centrurinae, which are in Scorpionidae. *Centruroides* was not proposed as a replacement name for *Centrurus*, but was proposed for *Buthus exilicauda*, a North American species, and for an undescribed species that was named but not described. The name *Centrurus* was incorrectly used for many years to denote species of *Centruroides* because of an erroneous understanding and placement of *Centrurus*.

9. Appendix 2

Tissue samples from which DNA was extracted and sequenced, and associated voucher specimens and additional material examined for morphological character matrix used in phylogenetic analysis of the New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955, deposited in the following collections: American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), New York, U.S.A., incorporating the Alexis Harington (AH) Collection; Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, France; Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZSP), Brazil; Natal Museum (NM), Pietermaritzburg, South Africa; Museum of Natural History, Oxford University, U.K. (OUMNH); South African Museum (SAM), Cape Town; Natur-Museum Senckenberg, Frankfurt (SMF), Germany; Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, Brazil; Zoologisches Museum der Humboldt-Universität, Berlin (ZMB), Germany; Zoologisches Museum der Universität Hamburg (ZMH), Germany. Samples, stored in the Ambrose Monell Cryocollection (AMCC) of the American Museum of Natural History, New York, and vouchers, in the AMNH Collection of Arachnida and Myriapoda, share the same number.

- Centruroides exilicauda (Wood, 1863): MEXICO: Baja California Sur: Município Los Cabos: Cabo San Lucas, 15 mi. E, 22°53.383'N 109°54.933'W, 1.vi.1999, M.E. Soleglad, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 1692]); San Bartolo, 6 km SW, 23°41.816'N 109°50.800'W, 100 m, 13.vii.2008, H. Montaño and E. González, mesic vegetation, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 8840]).
- Centruroides gracilis (Latreille, 1804): MEXICO: Hidalgo: Município Tamazunchale: Tamazunchale, 24 km SE, 21°11′14″N 98°54°16″W, 3.viii.2002, L. Prendini and E. González, under stones on grassy hillside (deforested), 1 ♂, 2 juv. (AMCC [LP 2013]). San Luis Potosí: Antena de Microondas de Ciudad Valles, 21°58′55″N 99°08′58″W, 340 m, 2.viii.2002, L. Prendini, J. Soriano and E. González, forest (disturbed), collected at night with UV light, 4 ♂, 3 ♀, 3 juv. (AMCC [LP 2051]).
- Centruroides infamatus (C.L. Koch, 1844): MEXICO: Michoacan: Município Tandamangapio: Los Tabanos, 19.9749°N 102.84226°W, 223 m, 31.v.2006, O.F. Francke, H. Montaño, A. Valdez and A. Ballesteros, 2 ♀ (AMCC [LP 6420]). Município Tinqambato: Tinqambato, 4 km W, 23.iii.2000, E. González, under stones, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 1822]).
- *Centruroides margaritatus* (Gervais, 1841): NICARAGUA: *Granada*: Domitila, 55 m, 27.v-1.vi.2002, J.M. Maes, 1 ex. [legs] (AMCC [LP 1986]); Domitila Research Station (Domitila Reserva Silvestre Privada), 11°42.54'N 85°57.21'W, 8.vi.2005,

C.S. Chaboo, 1 \bigcirc (AMCC [LP 4326]), 10.vi.2005, Chaboo and Shepard, 1 \circlearrowright (AMCC [LP 4325]).

- Centruroides rileyi Sissom, 1995: MEXICO: San Luis Potosi: Município Axtlan de Terrazas: Axtlan de Terrazas, 21.42601°N 98.87821°W, 100 m, 28.iv.2006, O.F. Francke, A. Valdez, G. Villegas and R. Paredes, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 6445]).
- Centruroides schmidti Sissom, 1995: HONDURAS: Dept. Francisco Morazán: Município San Antonio de Oriente: E.A.P. Zamorano, Monte Redondo, Acuacultura, 13.999°N 86.989°W, 773 m, 23.ix.2008, C. Viquez, night UV, 8 juv. (AMCC [LP 9172]). Islas del Bahía: Município Roatán: Cayos Cochinos, Cayos Menor, forest trails, 15°57.448'N 86°30.055'W, 101 m, 2.viii.2012, K. Sagastume and S. Longhorn, scrub oak forest, 1 ♀ (OUMNH); Isla Utila, Utila, wet savannah forest, 16°06.369'N 86°54.135'W, 12 m, 21.vii.2012, K. Sagastume and S. Longhorn, scrub forest/ savannah, 1 ♀ (OUMNH).
- *Centruroides vittatus* (Say, 1821): U.S.A.: *Texas*: Hays Co.: Buda, iii.2003, P. Sprouse, in house, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 2286]).
- Heteroctenus abudi (Armas & Marcano Fondeur, 1987): DO-MINICAN REPUBLIC: La Altagracia Prov.: Parque Nacional del Este: Cabo Flaso (entrance zone), 18°22'25"N 68°37'01"W, 67.7 m, 14.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, 1 ♂ (AMNH); track between Ranger Station (at Boca de Yuma) and Punta Faustino, 18°21'17.2"N 68°36'52.3"W, 3.3 m, 14.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, dense canopy humid forest, hand collected from under stones and blacklighting, especially along an old rock wall along the start of the track, 19 3, 15 9, 1 subad. 3, 1 subad. ♀, 5 juv., 102 1st instars (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 3268]); San Rafael de Yuma, 18°21.332'N 68°37.095'W, 46 m, 8.vi.2012, CarBio Team, rock wall, 1 3 (AMCC [LP 12463]). U.S.A.: Puerto Rico: Isla Mona, trail #1 to Punta Capitan from Sardiniera, 18°05.294'N 67°56.289'W, 16.x.2009, L. Esposito and H.Y. Yamaguti, blacklighting, primarily scrub forest and cactus, on rocks, sympatric with Centruroides and Cazierius, 10 ♂, 9 ♀, 3 subad., 4 juv. (AMNH), 2 juv. (AMCC [LP 10235]); road to El Faro, 18°03.833'N 67°52.114'W to 18°05.126'N 67°50.871'W, 17.x.2009, L. Esposito and H.Y. Yamaguti, blacklighting, population sparse, vegetation primarily desert grassland dominated by organ cactus and tall grasses, drier than east coast, 2 ♂, 4 ♀, 1 subad., 1 juv. (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 10234]); main road at intersection to trail #26 to Playa India, 18°03.806'N 67°53.239'W, 18.x.2009, L. Esposito and H.Y. Yamaguti, 1 🖒 (AMNH).
- Heteroctenus bonettii (Armas, 1999): DOMINICAN REPUB-LIC: Pedernales Prov.: Parque National Jaragua: Cabo Rojo, 17°53'45.2"N 71°39'35.8"W, 15 m, 9.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, dry cactus and spiny forest on limestone karst, hand collected from under stones and logs, and with blacklights, 5 ♂, 11 ♀, 5 subad., 2 juv. (AMNH), 1 juv. ♂ (AMCC [LP 3267]); road to Cabo Rojo, 0.6 km S of DR 44, 17°58.201'N 71°39.036'W, 14 m, 7.vii.2010, J. Huff and S. Schoenbrun, karst limestone,

1 \Diamond , 1 \heartsuit , 1 subad. \Diamond (AMNH), 1 subad. \Diamond (AMCC [LP 10524]); road to Fondo Paradi, 1.8 km from Highway 44, 17°48.692'N 71°26.600'W, 302 ft, 12.i.2004, J. Huff, found between rocks, 1 \heartsuit (AMCC [LP 2471]), 1 \heartsuit (AMCC [LP 3265]); unmarked track into park between Manuell Goa and Oviedo, 17°48'41.5" 71°26'35.9"W, 83.3 m, 9.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, deciduous forest and thorny scrub, hand collected from under stones and logs and with blacklights,15 \Diamond , 8 \heartsuit , 1 subad., 1 juv. (AMNH), 1 juv. [pedipalps] (AMCC [LP 3266]).

- Heteroctenus garridoi (Armas, 1974): CUBA: Guantanamo Prov.: Guantanamo, x.2009, ex G. Molisani, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 10225]). U.S. Guantanamo Bay Naval Base: Guantanamo Bay, Graffiti Hill, 19°55'00.48"N 75°06'08.64"W, 7.v.2010, P. Tolson, S. Droege and S. Brady, native scrub, 1 ♂ (AMNH).
- *Heteroctenus junceus* (Herbst, 1800): Antillen?, 1 ♂, 2 ♀ (ZMB 7370). Porto Rico [erroneous], Stahl, 2 ♀, 1 juv. (ZMB 7280). Santiago de las Caballeros [Dominican Republic, erroneous], 1936, P. Thumb, 1 ♂ (ZMH). CUBA: Gundlach, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (ZMB 738), 2 Q (ZMB 2637), 1 juv. (ZMB 7343). vii.2007, C. Hamilton, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 7009]). Pinar del Río Prov.: Sierra del Rosario, near Aspuru, xi.1937, H.H. Voelckers, $1 \Diamond, 1 \heartsuit, 1$ juv. ♀ (ZMH). Artemisa Prov.: near Baños [probably Santiago del los Baños], v.1918, 2 d (AMNH). Camagüey Prov.: Sierra de Cubitas, Limones-Tuabaquey Ecological Reserve, area around field station, 21°32.887'N 77°46.705'W, 55 m, 13-14.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 1 of (AMCC [LP 12619]),1 of (AMCC [LP 12620]),1 subad. ♀ (AMCC [LP 12621]), 1 subad. ♀ (AMCC [LP 12622]), 1 juv. ♂ (AMCC [LP 12623]). Guantanamo Prov.: Alejandro Humboldt National Park: near El Yunque de Baracoa, 20°19.907'N 74°34.151'W, 74 m, 4.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 1 9, 26 juv. (AMCC [LP 12432]), 20°20.701'N 74°33.985'W, 370 m, 5.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 1 3 (AMCC [LP 12613]), 20°19.64'N 74°35.59'W, 530 m, 6-7.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 1 ♂, 2 ♀ (AMNH), 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 12614]),4 ♀ (AMCC [LP 12615-12618]). Havana Prov.: Havana, 1 ♀ (AMNH), iv.1941, E. Weiss, 1 ♀, 1 subad. (AMNH). Holguín Prov.: viii.2000, Heist, captive bred, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 1928]); Guardalavaca, 29.iii.1993, W. Altmann, captive bred, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 1565]); Mavari, Parque Nacional "Mensura-Piloto", 1 km después de la carretera al Hotel "Mayari", 716 m, 10.v.2013, F. Cala-Riquelme and A. Deler-Hernández, secondary riverine forest with abundance of pines, collected in the evening and at night on vegetation and trunks, 1 juv. d (AMCC [LP 12896]). Isla de la Juventud Prov: Isle of Pines, 1 d (AMNH). Mayabeque Prov.: Arroyo Bermejo, near Fibacoa [Jibacoa], 15.vi.1967, 1 ♀ (ZMB 31021), vi.1967, 1 juv. (ZMB 31022), 31.v.1967, Kleiderschrank, 1 🖑 (ZMB 31020); Guisa, mountains near, x.1936, P. Thumb, 1 ♀, 28 juv. (ZMH), Moa, ix.1937, P. Thumb, 1 ♂ (ZMH). Oriente Prov.: 1938, P. Thumb, 4 Q (ZMH). Pinar del Río Prov.: Guanahacabiles, Akad.-stat. El Beral, xii.1967, G. Peters, 1 subad. (ZMB 31023); Sierra de Anafe, 23.ii.194, M. Barro, 2 subad. (AMNH); Viñales National Park, near Dos Hermanas, 22°37.265'N 83°44.3'W, 130 m, 18.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 2 juv. ♂ (AMCC [LP 12624, 12625]),1 juv. ♀ (AMCC [LP 12626]), 22°39.424'N 83°42.097'W, 280 m, 20-21.iv.2012, CarBio Team, 6 juv. ♂ (AMCC [LP 12627-12632]), 1 juv. ♀ (AMCC [LP 12633]); Vinales Valley, 1940, Osorio, 1 ♀ (AMNH). Sancti Spíritus Prov.: Trinidad, viii.1978, B. Acosta, 1 👌 (AMNH [AH 4514]). Santiago de Cuba Prov.: La Socapa, 10 km SW of Santiago de Cuba, 9.iv.1999, R. Teruel, 1 $\stackrel{\circ}{\supset}$ (AMNH), 3 $\stackrel{\circ}{\subsetneq}$ (AMCC [LP 1509, 1517, 1518]); Santiago de Cuba, 1 3, 2 juv. (AMNH).
- Heteroctenus princeps (Karsch, 1879): DOMINICAN REPUB-LIC: Independencia Prov.: Parque Nacional Isla Cabritos: Isla Cabritos, 18°30.019'N 71°43.228'W, 110 ft, 7.i.2004, J. Huff, under rock, coral, 6 ♂, 4 ♀, 3 subad., 17 juv. (AMNH), 3 juv. (AMCC [LP 2470]), 1 subad., 2 juv. (AMCC [LP 3260]); Ranger station, 18°33'45''N 71°41'50''W, -19 m, 8.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, dry forest, hand collected from under stones and logs, and with blacklights, 3 ♂, 7 ♀, 6 subad., 2 juv. (AMNH), 1 subad. (AMCC [LP 3264]); behind Ranger Sta-

tion, 18.56287°N 71.69762°W, -23 m, 8.viii.2005, L. Esposito, mixed dry forest with succulents, UV detection, 35° C, 3° , 8° , 2 subad. \bigcirc , 32 1st instars (AMNH), 1 \bigcirc (AMCC [LP 5102]); park entrance to Lago Enriquillo, 18°33.772'N 71°41.859'W, 18 m, 21.ii.2012, J. Huff and R.C. West, 1 juv. d (AMCC [LP 12102]). Parque Nacional Sierra de Bahoruco: road between Rabo de Gato and Duverge, 18°19'38"N 71°33'55"W, 447 m, 7.vii.2004, E.S. Volschenk and J. Huff, arid thorny scrub, hand collected from under stones and in dead and dry agaves, $3 \triangleleft, 3 <footnote>, 4$ juv. (AMNH), 1 Q (AMCC [LP 3263]); Puerto Escondido, Sierra de Bahoruco, 18°19.762'N 71°33.502'W, 1592 ft, 6.i.2004, J. Huff, under dead agave, $1 \Diamond, 3 \subsetneq, 1$ juv. (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 3261]); Puerto Escondido, 6 km NNE, 18°21.084'N 71°32.048'W, 240 m, 6.vii.2010, J. Huff and S. Schoenbrun, 1 subad. d (AMCC [LP 10523]); Road to Puerto Escondido, 18°20.376'N 71°33.345'W, 1388 ft, 6.i.2004, J. Huff, under rocks in gravel quarry, 1 Q (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 3262]). La Altagracia Prov.: San Rafael, El Morro Monte Cristi, 19°47.34'N 70°43.02′W, 40 m, 22.vi.2012, CarBio Team, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 12479]), 1 subad. 3 (AMCC [LP 12478]). Pedernales Prov.: Manuel Goja, 3.9. km N, 17°50'20.81"N 71°27'18.84"W, 9.v.1998, D. Huber, 1 & (AMCC [LP 1566]); Oviedo to Pedernales, 11.5 km N, 17°56'18.69"N 71°32'37.25"W, 8.v.1998, D. Huber, 1 d (AMCC [LP 1516]). HAITI: Dept. Ouest: Port-au-Prince, 18°32′21.15″N 72°19′44.39″W, Ehrenberg, holotype ♂ (ZMB 116).

- *Ischnotelson guanambiensis* (Lenarducci et al., 2005): BRA-ZIL: *Bahia*: Ceraíma: Guanambi, Aeroporto de Guanambi, 14°13'00"S 42°46'60"W, 17.xii.2007, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 subad. ♀ (MZSP 30864), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9669]), 1 subad. ♂ (MZSP 30865), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9670]).
- *Ischnotelson peruassu* Esposito et al., 2017: BRAZIL: *Minas Gerais*: Januária: Parque Nacional Cavernas do Peruaçu, 15°07.43'N 44°14.467'W, 4–25.i.2009, R.S. Recoder and M. Teixeira-Júnior, paratype ♂ (MZSP 31138), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9937]).
- *Isometrus maculatus* (DeGeer, 1778): SRI LANKA: Wellawaya, 24.ii.2000, D. Huber, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 1798]).
- Jaguajir agamemnon (C.L. Koch, 1839): BRAZIL: Maranhão: Balsas, 07°28'44"S 46°07'09"W, 4.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti et al.,1 Q (MZSP 31132), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9948] ex), 1 juv. d (MZSP 31133), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9932]); Caxias, 04°56'26"S 43°27'59"W, 16.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha et al., 1 juv. d (MZSP 30883), [leg] AMCC [LP 9692]), 04°56'50"S 43°29'45"W, 15.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha et al., 1 juv. ♂ (MZSP 31134), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9936]); Santa Barbara, on shore of Rio Parnaiba, vi.1962, G. Eiten, 1 d (AMNH). Pernambuco: Exu, 10 km N, 13.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, rocky habitat within thorn scrub forest, 1 \bigcirc , 1 subad. \bigcirc , 4 juv. (AMNH), 14.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, rocky habitat in thorn scrub, $1 \stackrel{?}{\bigcirc}, 1 \stackrel{?}{\ominus}$ (AMNH); Exu, 10 km NE, 28.iv.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 $\stackrel{_\sim}{_{\sim}}$, 1 $\stackrel{_\circ}{_{\sim}}$, 2 subad. $\stackrel{_\circ}{_{\sim}}$, 2 subad., 1 juv. (AMNH), 25.ix.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (AMNH); Exu, 15 km NE, 14.v.1977, L.J. Vitt, high caatinga, under bark of tree, 1 subad. Q (AMNH); Exu, 20 km E, 30.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 juv. ♂ (AMNH); Fazenda Caterino, 10 km NE Exu, 1.viii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 juv. 3 (AMNH), 25.ix.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 ♀ (AMNH), 9.vii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 subad. ♂ (AMNH). Piauí: Barras, 04°19'04"S 42°18'26"W, 18.viii.2008, R. Pintoda-Rocha et al., 1 subad. ♀ (MZSP 30884), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9693]); Castelo do Piauí, 05°13'43"S 41°41'57"W, 13.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha et al., 1 \bigcirc (MZSP 30887), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9696]), 1 subad. ♂ (MZSP 31157), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9933]), 1 juv. 👌 (MZSP 31156), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9939]); Oeiras, 06°58'28"S 42°06'31"W, 2-3.iv.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti et al.,1 ♂ (MZSP 31160), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9954]), 1 ♀ (MZSP 31161), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9942]); Piracuruca, near Parque Nacional Sete Cidades, 04°10'07"S 41°41'56.7"W, 16.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and L.S. Carvalho, 1 & (MZSP 31167), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9949]); Sítio Ouro Verde, Teresina, 04°54'13.9"S 42°47′27.1″W, 27.vii.2008, L.S. Carvalho, 1 subad. ♂ (MZSP

30886), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9695]),1 juv. 3° (MZSP 31169), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9960]); Teresina, Campus UFPI, 05°02'43.5"S 42°46'13.4"W, 16.viii.2008, UFPI, 1 3° (MZSP 31170), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9929]). *Tocantins*: Mateiros, Jalapão, 10°33.811'S 46°27.409'W, 17.iv.2009, S. Outeda-Jorge and F. Marques, 1 3° (MZSP 31182), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9938]), 1 subad. 9° (MZSP 31180), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9934]), 1 juv. 3° (MZSP 31181), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9958]); Rio da Conceição, estrada para E.E. Serra Geral, 11°22'26"S 46°49'11"W, 7.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 9° (MZSP 30885), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9694]), 1 subad. 9° (MZSP 31193), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9935]).

- *Jaguajir pintoi* (Mello-Leitao, 1932): BRAZIL: *Roraima*: Normandia, 03°53'44"N 59°37'40"W, 14.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 juv. ♂ (MZSP 31176), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9928]), 1 juv. ♂ (MZSP 31177), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9928]), 1 juv. ♂ (MZSP 31177), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9944]); Vila Tepequém, Amajari, 03°47'54"N 61°43'08"W, 11.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 ♀ (MZSP 30862), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9672]), 03°47'54"N 61°44'57"W, 17.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 ♀ (MZSP 30863), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9671]). GUYANA: Upper Takutu–Upper Essequibo Region: Rupununi region, SW Guyana, near Venezuelan border, iii.2008, 1 juv. ♂ (AMCC [LP 8278]), 24.ix.2008, imported L. Arden, 9 ♀, 3 juv. (OUMNH 2009-001).
- Jaguajir rochae (Borelli, 1910): BRAZIL: Bahía: Barra, Igarité, 5.vi.2008, 1 Q (MZSP 31122), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9963]); Catu, Ibiraba, 2.viii.2000, 1 Q (MZSP 31123), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9953]); Ceraíma, Guanambi, 14°13'00"S 42°46'60"W, 10-17.xii.2007, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 ♂ (MZSP 30881), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9684]); Guanambí, 7 km S, 14°17'5.6"S 42°47'2.2"W, 533 m, 24.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, UV sampling, modified savanna, cloudy and raining, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 7638]); Guanambí, 16 km SE, 14°17'19"S 42°41'31.1"W, 559 m, 25.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, UV sampling and under leaf litter, banana plantation and surrounds, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 7655]); Fazenda du Fabiano, 8 km NE Guanambí, 14°10'17.6"S 42°43'56.4"W, 539 m, 24.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, under rocks, rocky hill and surrounds, open savanna modified, $1 \ \bigcirc$ (AMNH), $1 \ \bigcirc$, 2 juv. (AMCC [LP 7639]); Espadoado de Cima, Jeremoabo, 10°04'S 38°23'W, 27.v.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, T.J. Porto and M.B. da Silva, 1 subad. ♀ (MZSP 30882), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9685]); Ibotirama, 12°10'34"S 43°11'33"W, 8.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 3 (MZSP 31125), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9956]), 1 Q (MZSP 31124), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9947]); Jeremoabo, 10°04'S 38°23'W, 25.v.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 3 (MZSP 31126), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9945]); Várzea do Poço, 19.x.2007, 1 ♀ (MZSP 31127), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9926]). Minas Gerais: Janaúba, 11.xii.2007, H.Y. Yamaguti, S. Outeda-Jorge and C.A. Souza, 1 juv. d (MZSP 31136), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9957]); UNIMONTES, campus Janaúba, Janaúba, 11.xii.2007, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 subad. *(MZSP 30879)*, [leg] (AMCC [LP 9682]). Paraiba: Soledade, 07°02.118'S 36°27.311′W, 575 m, 16.iii.1999, A. Kury and A. Giupponi, 3 👌 (AMCC [LP 1581, 1582, 1775]). Pernambuco: Escola Aquicola, Exu, 30.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, caatinga, 1 3 (AMNH), 27.vi.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 d (AMNH); Exu, 07°26'44"S 39°44'21"W, 1.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 ♀ (MZSP 31144), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9955]), 1 juv. (MZSP 31143), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9951]); Exu, 18 km E, 5.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, under leaf of granite on boulder, caatinga habitat, 2 \bigcirc , 68 1st instars (AMNH); Exu, 20 km E, 30.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 \bigcirc , 1 \bigcirc , 2 juv. (AMNH); Exu, 3 km NW, 10.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 2 ♂, 1 ♀, 3 juv. (AMNH); Exu, 3 km W, 30.v.1977, L.J. Vitt, 2 ♂, 4 ♀, 4 juv. (AMNH), 1.vi.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 ♀ (AMNH); Exu, 5 km E, 8.v.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 juv. (AMNH); Exu, 5 km N, 6.iv.1977, L.J. Vitt, caatinga, 1 3, 1 juv. (AMNH), 18.i.1978, L.J. Vitt and K.E. Streilein, 1 juv. (AMNH); Exu, 6 km N, 15.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, open fields (cotton), under fallen logs, 1, 1 juv. 3 (AMNH); Exu, 6 km NE, 16.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, under rock on larger rock, caatinga

habitat, 1 ^Q, 49 1st instars (AMNH); Fazenda Batente, 5 km NE Exu, 29.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 juv. (AMNH); Fazenda Caterino, 10 km NE Exu, 1.viii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 7 ♂, 3 ♀, 3 juv. (AMNH); Fazenda Chelonia, 8 km S Exu, 28.vii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 2 juv. (AMNH); Fazenda Guarani, 3 km N Exu, 14.vii.1977, L.J. Vitt, $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}, 3 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}, 1$ subad., 3 juv. (AMNH); Fazenda Guarani, 5 km N Exu, 29.vii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 Q, 3 juv. (AMNH), 19.ii.1978, L.J. Vitt, 1 \bigcirc (AMNH); Serra Talhada, 07°58'11"S 38°19'16"W, 30.v.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 ♀ (MZSP 31146), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9943]), 1 ♀ (MZSP 31147), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9930]); Vitória do Santo Antão, 08°07'S 35°25'W, 28.v.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti, M.B. da Silva and T.J. Porto, 1 Q (MZSP 31148), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9946]). *Piaui*: Castelo do Piauí, 05°13'43"S 41°41'57"W, 13.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and L.S. Carvalho, 1 👌 (MZSP 31150), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9961]), 1 ♀ (MZSP 31151), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9941]). Sergipe: near Genipapo, vii.1982, O.F. Francke, 1 ♂, 2 ♀ (AMNH); UHE Xingó, Canindé de São Francisco, 22.viii.2007, Arnaldo Jr., 1 🌳 (MZSP 30880), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9683]).

- Physoctonus debilis (C.L. Koch, 1840): BRAZIL: Pernambuco: Exu, 18 km N, 5.iii.1977, L.J. Vitt, under leaf of granite on boulder, caatinga habitat, $1 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{=} (AMNH)$; Exu, 5 km N, 4.x.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 \bigcirc (AMNH), 18.i.1978, L.J. Vitt and K.E. Streilein, 1 \bigcirc (AMNH); Fazenda Batente, 13 km E Exu, 10.xi.1977, L.J. Vitt and K.E. Streilein, 1 Q (AMNH); Fazenda Caterino, 10 km NE Exu, 9.vii.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 Q (AMNH), 25.ix.1977, L.J. Vitt, 1 ♀ (AMNH). *Piaui*: Castelo do Piauí, 05°13'43"S 41°41'57"W, 13.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha et al., 1 Q (MZSP 30868), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9680]), 1 ^Q (MZSP 31158), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9931]); Oeiras, 06°58'28"S 42°06'31"W, 2-3.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 Q (MZSP 31162), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9940]), 1 subad. ^Q (MZSP 31164), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9962]), 3.vi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 2 (MZSP 30866), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9678]); near Parque Nacional Sete Cidades, Brasileira e Piracuruca, 04°10'02"S 41°41'56.7"W, 16.viii.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and L.S. Carvalho, 1 Q (MZSP 30867), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9679]).
- *Physoctonus striatus* Esposito et al., 2017: BRAZIL: *Bahia*: Xique-Xique, 10°49′60″S 42°43′60″W, 2.x.2008, T.J. Porto, paratype ♂ (MZSP 30869), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9681]), 3.x.2008, T.J. Porto, paratype ♂ (MZSP 31128), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9950]).
- **Rhopalurus caribensis Teruel & Roncallo, 2008:** COLOMBIA: Magdalena Dept.: Município Santa Marta: Bahia de Guairaca, Tayrona Park, 31.x.1985, H.-G. Muller, 1 \bigcirc (SMF 37027); Corregimiento de Bonda, Vereda Girocasaca, Finca Guaipi, 11°13'05.5"N 74°06'14.3"W, 173 m, 21–24.viii.2014, J.A. Moreno and W. Galvis, nocturnal, manual collection with UV light, 1 \bigcirc (AMCC [LP 13167]),1 subad. \bigcirc (AMNH); Finca Las Delicias, 80 m, 17.v.2008, J.A. Noriega, 1 \bigcirc (AMCC [LP 9341]); Pozo Colorado, 11 km W Santa Marta, 18–30.iv.1968, B. Malkin, 1 \bigcirc , 1 subad., 19 1st instars (AMNH); Puente de Los Clavos, 15 km E Pueblo Bello, Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, 1500 m, 13.vi.1968, B. Malkin, 1 subad. \bigcirc (AMNH); Santa Marta, 29.vi–31.vii.1966, 2 \bigcirc (SMF 39120).
- Rhopalurus laticauda Thorell, 1876: 2 ♀ (ZMB 14865). "Mexico", Dr v. Hubl, 1 👌 (ZMB 14866). BRAZIL: F. Kummerow, 1 ♀ (ZMB 8226). Acre: Rio Branco, Amazonasgebiet, 1912, E. Ule, 1 juv. ♀ (ZMB 14867). Pará: Alter do Chão, Santarém, 02°31'36"S 54°54'19"W, 28.x.2008, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, 1 3 (MZSP 30870), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9686]), 1 subad. d, (MZSP 30872), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 9688]), 1 juv. Q (MZSP 30873), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9689]), 1 juv. [©] (MZSP 30874), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9690]), 1 juv. (MZSP 30875), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9691]); Monte Alegre, 01°56'32"S 54°08'13"W 31.x.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 ♀ (MZSP 30871), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9687]), 1 ♀ (MZSP 31141), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9924]). Roraima: Alto Alegre, 02°56'34"N 61°03'09"W, 10.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 & (MZSP 31173), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9952]), 1 juv. d (MZSP 31172), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9927]); Bonfim,

03°22'45"N 59°49'18"W, 13.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 ♂ (MZSP 30878), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9677]), 1 subad. d (MZSP 31175), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9925]); Mt. Roraima, 2 3, 1 2, 1 subad. (AMNH [29180]); Mucajaí, 02°27'38"N 60°54'24"W, 12.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 & (MZSP 30877), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9676]); Normandia, 03°53'44"N 59°37'40"W, 14.xi.2008, H.Y. Yamaguti and R. Pinto-da-Rocha, 1 ♂ (MZSP 31178), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9959]), 1 ♀ (MZSP 30876), [leg] (AMCC [LP 9675]). COLOMBIA: Guaviare Dept.: San José del Guaviare, xii.1955, Meden, 1 Q (SMF 39252). VENEZUELA: Apure: Elorza, 10 km SW, road to San Felipe, 07°03.749'N 69°30.249'W, 89 m, 5.x.2008, J.A. Ochoa and S.E. Bazo Abreu, llanos, 3 3, 3 2, 1 subad. 3, 3 juv. 3(AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 9200]). Aragua: Cagua, 10°11'N 67°27′W, 1903, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (SAM 6512); Maracay, Fahrenholz, 1 3, 1 9, 1 subad. (SMF 8876/218), 1 subad. 3 (SMF 29208); Parque Nacional Henri Pittier: Puerto Colombia, Cristo Mirador, 10°30.572'N 67°36.253'W, 1-10 m, 1.viii.2009, F. Rojas-Runjaic, A. Ferrer, L. Prendini and J.A. Ochoa, dry area, hill near to beach, 1 ♂, 3 ♀ (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 10046]). Bolivar: A.C. La Ceiba, between Puerto Ordaz and Ciudad Bolívar, 08°14.023'N 62°55.562'W, 102 m, 15.vii.2009, A. Yepez, M. Blanco and J.A. Ochoa, llanos, 1 d (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 10047]); Cedeño ca. Los Pijiguaos, 06°29.878'N 67°02.600'W, 76 m, 12.x.2008, J.A. Ochoa and S.E. Bazo Abreu, llanos, 2, 1 subad. ♀ (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 9237]); Ciudad Bolívar, 20.ii.1903, 2 ♀ (ZMH), 07°37.486'N 64°05.924'W, 117 m, 24.x.2008, J.A. Ochoa and S.E. Bazo Abreu, llanos, 1 3, 3 \bigcirc 1 subad. \bigcirc , 1 juv. \bigcirc , 1 \bigcirc metasoma (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 9256]); Comunidad Corosal, ca. Pijiguaos, 80 m, 25.x.2008, J.A. Ochoa, forest, 1 3, 4 2, 2 subad. 2 (AMNH), 1 subad. ♀ (AMCC [LP 9253]); Gran Sabana, 88 km, xi.2005-ii.2006, C. Siederman, 2 👌 (AMNH); La Paragua, M.A. de Verde, 1 👌 (AMNH); Parque Nacional Canaima: Laguna Canaima, Isla Anatoly, 06°15.191'N 62°50.945'W, 395 m, 27.vii.2009, L. Prendini and J.A. Ochoa, savanna and forest, $3 \Diamond, 2 \heartsuit$ (AMNH), 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 10048]); Upata, ii.1973, A. Bordes, 1 ♀ (AMNH). Distrito Federal: Caracas, iii.1999, C. Siederman, 2 ♀, 20 1st instars (AMNH). Guarico: between Calabozo and San Fernando de Apure (about halfway), 30.xi.1967, M.A. de Verde, 1 ♀ (AMNH); Hato Masaguarat, 45 km S Calabozo, 7.iv.1978, Y. Lubin, 1 ♂ (AMNH). *Mérida*: Mérida, 2 ♂, 3 ♀ (SMF 5712/27). Miranda: Guatire, 15.xii.1975, M.A. Gonzalez-Sponga, 1 3, 1 ♀ (NM 16431), 29.iv.2004, R.C. West, under rocks, dry forest,

- φ (NM 16431), 29.1V.2004, R.C. West, under rocks, dry forest, 1 \Diamond (AMCC [LP 2845]), 1 φ (AMNH); Hacienda Santa Rosa, 3 km N Guatire, 450 m, 10.i.1973, M.A. Gonzalez-Sponga, 1 \Diamond , 1 φ , 2 juv. (AMNH). *Nueva Esparta*: Isla Margarita, N of Peninsula de Macanao, 11°02.618'N 64°21.542'E, 4.ix.2005, S. Huber, 1 φ (AMCC [LP 4221]); probably Isla Margarita, 2001, C. Siederman, 1 φ (AMCC [LP 2462]).
- *Rhopalurus ochoai* Esposito et al., 2017: VENEZUELA: *Carabobo*: Município Valencia: Valencia, 29.xii.1904, F. Kummerow, paratype ♀ (ZMB 31024), ix.1958, H. Ardelt, 2 ♀ paratypes (ZMH), Valencia, Falcon Distr., viii.1992, C. Siederman, paratype ♂ (AMNH). *Trujillo*: Município Motatan: San Miguel, ca. Represa Agua Viva, 09°30.225'N 70°34.914'W, 195 m, 23.ix.2008, J.A. Ochoa and S.E. Bazo Abreu, dry forest, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ paratypes (AMNH), 1 subad. ♀, 1 juv. ♀ paratypes (AMCC [LP 9199]). Município Valera: Valera region, N, x.2005, S.E. Bazo Abreu, paratype ♀ (AMCC [LP 5504]), paratype ♀ (AMCC [LP 5505]). *Zulia*: Município Jesus Enrique Lozada: San Agustín, 10°45.841'N 71°44.108', 44 m, 28.ix.2008, J.A. Ochoa and S.E. Bazo Abreu, dry forest, holotype ♂, 1 ♂, 2 ♀, 1 subad. ♀ paratypes (AMNH), 1 subad. ♀ paratype (AMCC [LP 9207]).
- *Tityus atriventer* Pocock, 1897: GRENADA: *St. Andrew Parish*: Balthazar Estate, 12.124°N 61.660°W, 18.vii.2008, L. Esposito and D. Schiff, agricultural land, under stones, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 9033]).
- *Tityus bahiensis* (Perty, 1833): ARGENTINA: *Misiones Prov.*: San Ignacio, road to Playas del Sol, 27°16'15''S 55°44'35''W,

60 m, 7.ii.2006, C.I. Mattoni and D. Vrech, UV on paranaense forest, in base of tree, $1 \Leftrightarrow$ (AMCC [LP 5641]). BRAZIL: *São Paulo*: São Paulo, 13.vi.1893, J. Wiengreen, $1 \diamondsuit$, $2 \Leftrightarrow$ (ZMH).

- *Tityus clathratus* C.L. Koch, 1844: SURINAM: Paramaribo, 27.x.1909, C. Heller, $2 \stackrel{\circ}{\triangleleft}, 1 \stackrel{\circ}{\subsetneq}, 1$ subad. $\stackrel{\circ}{\dashv}$ (ZMH). TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO: *Trinidad:* Gaspar Grande Island, 7.vii.1999, L. Prendini, collected at night with UV light, 8 $\stackrel{\circ}{\subsetneq}$ (AMCC [LP 1567]).
- *Tityus discrepans* (Karsch, 1879): VENEZUELA: *Distrito Federal*: Caracas, xi.1998, M.A. Gonzalez-Sponga, 1 ♀, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 1547]). *Miranda*: Municipio Los Salias: San Antonio de los Altos, Quebrada Aguas Blancas, 10°22.088'N 66°58.033'W, 1391 m, 22.viii.2009, S. Foghin, F. Rojas-Runjaic and J.A. Ochoa, secondary forest, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 10102]).
- *Tityus kuryi* Lourenço, 1997: BRAZIL: *Bahia*: Mucugé: Mucugé, 10 km NE, 12°57'30.7''S 41°19'30.1''W, 1163 m, 20.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, UV sampling, hills with open rocky savanna, 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 7659]); road to Igatú, south access, 12°54'11.9''S 41°18'26.2''W, 900 m, 21.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, UV sampling, hills with open rocky savanna, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 7656]).
- *Tityus metuendus* **Pocock, 1897:** GUYANA: Essequibo River, 01°36′45.7″N 58°38′14.6″W, 240 m, 15.vii.1999, M. Kuntner, primary forest, collected on tree trunk, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 1546]). PERU: *Loreto*: Rio Orosa, E of Iquitos, 12.vi.2002, S. Gonzales, on tree trunk at night, 2 ♀ (AMCC [LP 1983]).
- *Tityus smithii* Pocock, 1893: ST. VINCENT AND THE GREN-ADINES: *Bequia*: Old Road to Hope Beach, 13.006°N 61.221°W, 14.vii.2008, L. Esposito and D. Schiff, tropical forest, UV detection, 1 subad. ♀ (AMCC [LP 9046]); Cinnamon Garpoan, 13°01.322'N 61°14.126'W, 170 m, 5.v.2013, CarBio Team, dry scrub, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 12976]); Princess Margaret Beach, 13°00.13'N 61°14.377'W, 20 m, 5.v.2013, CarBio Team, coastal vegetation, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 12977]).
- Tityus riverai Teruel & Sanchez, 2009: U.S.A.: Puerto Rico: Municipio Mayagüez: Zoo Dr. Juan A. Rivero, Barrio Miradero, xi.2008, W. Vargas, on avian warehouse, found dead, 1 ♂, 1 ♀ (AMNH); Mayagüez, Zoologico de Puerto Rico (Mayagüez Zoo), 18°12.948'N 67°08.008'W, 30 m, 22.x.2009, L. Prendini, J. Huff and L. Figueroa, lowland tropical forest in zoological gardens, UV detection on warm, very humid, moonless night, taken on tree trunks and tree holes mostly near bird aviary, some several meters high, 1 subad., 1 juv. (AMCC [LP 10202]).
- Troglorhopalurus lacrau (Lourenço & Pinto-da-Rocha, 1997): BRAZIL: Bahía: Itaeté: Trail between Caves "Lapa do Bode" and "Lapa Escondida", 12°56'9.1"S 41°03'56.2"W, 21.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H.Y. Yamaguti, under rocks, 2 ♀ (AMNH), 1 subad. ♀, 4 juv. (AMCC [LP 7637]); "Lapa do Bode", 24.i.2007, C.I. Mattoni, R. Pinto-da-Rocha and H. Yamaguti, 1 subad. ♀ (AMCC [LP 10211]).
- *Troglorhopalurus translucidus* Lourenço et al., 2004: BRAZIL: *Bahia*: Andaraí: Gruna Canal da Fumaça, GPS, J.E. Gallao, D. Schimonsky and M.E. Bichuette, date, 1 ♂ (LES-4786). Lençóis: Gruta do Lapão, Chapada Diamantina, Lençóis, 12°34′00″S 41°22′60″W, 20–29.i.2009, H.Y. Yamaguti et al., 1 ♂ (MZSP), [pedipalp and two legs] (AMCC [LP 9668]).
- Zabius birabeni Mello-Leitão, 1938: ARGENTINA: La Pampa Prov.: Lihuel Calel, 6.i.2003, A.A. Ojanguren-Affilastro, 1 ♀ (AMCC [LP 2261]). San Juan Prov.: plains near Caucete, base of Sierra de Pie de Palo, 31°42′37.5″S 68°08′24.2″W, 817 m, 28.i.2005, C.I. Mattoni and A.A. Ojanguren-Affilastro, UV sampling, 1 ex. [chela] (AMCC [LP 4251]).
- Zabius fuscus (Thorell, 1876): ARGENTINA: Córdoba Prov.: Capilla de Olaen, ca. 11 km W of Molinari, 31°09'44.46"S 64°36'24.336"W, 1096 m, 29.xii.2005, C.I. Mattoni, A. Peretti, P. Carreras, M. Zerda and D. Vrech., grassland with granitic rocks, under stones and UV, 2 juv. (AMCC [LP 5642]); La Cumbre, iii.2000, K. Biondo, 1 ♂ (AMCC [LP 1869]); Puesto del Cura, 1917, Lillo, 2 ♀ (MNHN RS 3587).

10. Appendix 3

Morphological characters and character states used in phylogenetic analysis of New World buthid subfamily Centruroidinae Kraus, 1955. Nomenclature follows HJELLE (1990) and SISSOM (1990), except for carapace and metasomal carination (VACHON 1974; PRENDINI 2003b), tergite and pedipalp carination (PRENDINI 2001b), pedipalp trichobothria (VACHON 1974), ovariuterine anatomy (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008), and book lung anatomy (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008).

Carapace

- 1. Lateral ocular carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 2. Central lateral carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 3. Anterior central submedian carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 4. Posterior central submedian carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 5. Anterior and posterior central submedian carinae, fusion: separate (0); fused (1).
- 6. Central lateral carina and posterior central submedian carina, fusion: fused (0); separate (1).
- Lateral ocular tubercle, macro-ocelli, count (STOCK-WELL 1989; PRENDINI 2000; SOLEGLAD & FET 2003): three (0); two (1).
- 8. Lateral ocular tubercles, posterior micro-ocellus (STOCKWELL 1989; PRENDINI 2000; SOLEGLAD & FET 2003): present (0); absent (1).

Chelicerae

- Fixed finger, dorsobasal setation: present (0); absent (1).
- 10. Median tubercles on the dorsal surface of the trunk, pattern of arrangement: transverse row (0); spread (1).

Pedipalps

- 11. Patella, association between prodorsal and proventral carinae: converging (0); separate, clearly defined (1).
- 12. Chela dorsal accessory carina: granular (0); smooth (1).
- 13. Chela median carina: present (0); absent or obsolete (1).
- 14. Chela ventral accessory carina: present (0); absent or obsolete (1).
- 15. Chela ventrointernal carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 16. Chela internomedian carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 17. Chela dorsointernal carina: present (0); absent (1).
- **18.** Femur retrolateral accessory carina: absent (0); present (1).
- **19.** Chela fixed finger, median denticle row, primary subrows: 8 (0); 9 (1); 13 or more (2).
- 20. Chela fixed finger, median denticle row, prolateral accessory (supernumerary) granules (SOLEGLAD & FET 2003): absent (0); present, well developed (1); present, weak and widely spaced (2).

- 21. Chela movable finger, median denticle row, number of primary subrows (SolegLAD & FET 2003; PRENDINI 2004): 8 (0); 9 (1); 11 (2); ≥ 13 (3).
- **22.** Chela shape (male) (PRENDINI 2001b, 2004): incrassate (0); slender (1).
- 23. Chela shape (female) (PRENDINI 2001b): incrassate (0); slender (1).
- 24. Chela fixed finger, shape (male) (PRENDINI 2001b, 2004): straight, proximal dentate margin linear when fingers closed (0); slightly curved dorsally (1); strongly curved dorsally, proximal dentate margin distinctly emarginate when fingers closed (2).
- 25. Chela movable finger, shape (male) (PRENDINI 2001b, 2004): straight, proximal dentate margin linear when fingers closed (0); slightly curved ventrally (1); strongly curved ventrally, proximal dentate margin distinctly emarginate when fingers closed (2).
- **26.** Chela movable finger, proximal lobe (male) (PREND-INI 2001b): absent (0); present (1).
- 27. Chela movable finger, median lobe (male) (PRENDINI 2004): absent (0); present (1).
- **28.** Chela fixed finger, proximal lobe (male) (PRENDINI 2001b): absent (0); present (1).
- **29.** Chela fixed finger, median lobe (male) (PRENDINI 2004): absent (0); present (1).
- 30. Femur dorsal surface, trichobothrium d₂ (SOLEGLAD & FET 2001, 2003): absent (four *d* trichobothria) (0); present (five *d* trichobothria) (1).
- **31.** Chela fixed finger, trichobothrium db position: situated distal to trichobothrium et (0); approximately aligned with et (1); situated between trichobothria est and et (2); approximately aligned with est (3).
- **32.** Chela fixed finger, trichobothrium *est* position: approximately aligned with trichobothrium *db* (0); situated between trichobothria *db* and *et* (1); situated proximal to *et* (2).
- **33.** Chela fixed finger, trichobothrium *et* position: situated between trichobothria *dt* and *db* (0); aligned with *db* (1); situated between *db* and *est* (2).

Legs

- 34. Leg I, prolateral pedal spur: simple (0); bifurcating (1).
- **35.** Leg I, telotarsal setae, arrangement: tufts (0); two discrete rows (1).
- **36.** Leg I, telotarsal setae, form: fine, acuminate (0); thick, acuminate (1); short, stout (2).
- **37.** Leg IV, telotarsal setae, arrangement: tufts (0); two discrete rows (1).
- **38.** Leg IV, telotarsal setae, form: fine, acuminate (0); thickened acuminate (1); short, stout (2).

Pectines

39. Pectinal teeth, shape: straight, sides almost parallel (0); sides slightly concurve, not straight (1); dorsal surface strongly concurve, sinuate (2).

- **40.** Proximal pectinal teeth, dorsal surface, sculpture: smooth or slightly granular (0); with irregular striations (1); large and regular striations (2).
- Proximal pectinal teeth, dorsal surface, nodules: single (0); multiple (1); absent (2).
- **42.** Pectinal teeth, dorsobasal surface, macrosetae: present **(0**); absent **(1)**.
- 43. Pectinal teeth, peg sensillae, shape: short and blunt (0); elongate and blunt (1); elongate and acuminate (2).
- 44. Proximal dorsal fulcra, setae: one (0); two (1); three (2); four (3); six or more (4); absent (5).
- **45.** Proximal median lamellae, shape (female) (PRENDINI 2001b, 2004): not dilated **(0)**; dilated **(1)**.
- **46.** Pectinal plate, anterior margin, sulcus: present (0); absent (1).
- **47.** Pectinal plate, posterior margin (male): curved (0); straight (1).
- 48. Pectinal plate depressions (male): single median (0); two lateral (1); absent (2).

Sternites

- 49. Sternite III, ventral median carinae: broad anterior raised region (0); narrow, elevated anterior carina (1); no elevation anteriorly (2).
- **50.** Sternite III, lateral margins, sculpture: not raised or granular (0); granular carina (1); smooth carina (2).
- 51. Sternite III, ventrosubmedian surface, sculpture: smooth or slightly granular (0); large, regularly spaced granules (1); small, irregular granules (2).
 Granules as characterized in state (1) are known to have a stridulatory function in all/some species where this state was observed.
- **52.** Sternite V, raised, smooth area on posteromedian surface (male) (PRENDINI 2004): present (0); absent (1).
- **53.** Sternite VI, ventrosubmedian carinae: present (0); absent (1).
- 54. Sternite VI, ventrolateral carinae: present (0); absent (1).
- 55. Sternites III-VI, spiracle shape (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008): wide, width > 5 × length (0); compact, width < 3 × length (1).

Tergites

- **56.** Tergite I, dorsolateral carinae: restricted to anterior 2/3 or less (0); absent (1).
- **57.** Tergite I, dorsosubmedian carinae: present (0); absent (1).
- **58.** Tergite II, dorsolateral carinae: restricted to anterior 2/3 or less (0); absent (1).
- **59.** Tergite II, dorsomedian carina: present (0); absent (1).
- Tergites III-VI, dorsolateral carinae: present (0); absent (1).
- **61.** Tergites III–VI, dorsosubmedian carinae (PRENDINI 2004): absent (0); present (1).
- 62. Tergite VII, dorsomedian carina: narrow, granular carina (0); granular mound, no carina (1); smooth mound, no carina (2).

63. Tergite VII, coloration relative to preceding tergites: paler (0); similar (1).

Metasoma

- **64.** Segment I, dorsal surface, granulation: sparsely granular (0); densely granular (1).
- **65.** Segment II, lateral inframedian carina (PRENDINI 2004): continuous (0); posteriorly confined (1); absent (2).
- 66. Segment III, lateral inframedian carina (PRENDINI 2004): continuous (0); posteriorly confined (1); absent (2).
- **67.** Segment III, dorsolateral carinae, posterior granules, size relative to anterior granules: similar (0); larger and spiniform (1).
- **68.** Segment IV, lateral inframedian carinae: absent or obsolete (0); distinctly present and well developed (1).
- **69.** Segments IV and V, ventral coloration relative to preceding segments: darker (0); similar (1).
- 70. Segment V, anal rim granulation: present (0); absent (1).
- 71. Segment V, dorsolateral carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 72. Segment V, lateral inframedian carinae: absent (0); present (1).
- 73. Segment V, ventromedian carina: absent (0); present (1).
- 74. Segment V, ventrosubmedian carinae: absent (0); present (1).
- **75.** Segment V, ratio of length to width: slightly elongate, length $< 2 \times$ width (0); elongate, length $2.5-3 \times$ width (1); strongly elongate, length $> 3 \times$ width (2).
- 76. Segments I–IV, relative width (LAMORAL 1978; PRENDINI 2001b, 2003b): narrowing posteriorly, segment I wider than IV (0); slight widening posteriorly, segment I slightly narrower than IV (1); pronounced widening posteriorly, segment I much narrower than IV (2).
- 77. Segments I−V, summed length relative to prosoma + mesosoma length (male): similar (< 1.5 ×) (0); much greater (≥ 1.5 ×) (1).
- **78.** Segments I–V, coloration, dark ventromedian stripe: absent (0); present (1).

Telson

- 79. Vesicle shape: spherical, length similar to width (0); slightly ovate, length ca. 1.5 × width (1); ovate, length more than 2 × width (2).
- 80. Vesicle width relative to width of metasomal segment V (LAMORAL 1978; PRENDINI 2001b, 2003b): approximately equal (0); somewhat narrower (1); considerably narrower, less than half (2).
- 81. Vesicle ventromedian carina: present (0); absent (1).
- 82. Vesicle lateral surface, granulation: granular (0); smooth (1).
- 83. Vesicle subaculear tubercle (LAMORAL 1980; STOCK-WELL 1989; PRENDINI 2000, 2004; SOLEGLAD & FET 2003): pronounced, pointed tooth (0); nub-like eminence (1); absent (2).

84. Vesicle subaculear tubercle, dorsal granules: absent (0); present (1).

Size

85. Male body length, relative to female: smaller or approximately equal (0); much larger (> $1.5 \times$) (1).

Ovariuterus

- **86.** Ovariuterine network, number of loops (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008): 8 (0); 9 (1); 2 (2).
- 87. Ovariuterus type (VOLSCHENK et al. 2008): simple (0); complex bridged (1).

Book lungs

- **88.** Lamellar surface (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008): slender venation (0); ribbed venation (1).
- 89. Lamellar edge (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008): thorns (0); smooth or slightly wrinkled (1).
- **90.** Posterior spiracle edge (KAMENZ & PRENDINI 2008): hillocks (0); subconical (1).