

1958,; Haifa, several spec., 5. IV. 1904, J. Sahlberg (!), 2 spec., 29. VI. 1958,; Hula, 1 spec., 10. VII. 1958,; Nabi Rubin, 1 spec., 4. VII. 1958,; Nazareth, 4 spec., 5. VIII. 1958,; Ramath Gan, 2 spec., 19. VII. 1958,; Rehovot, 7 spec., 28. VII. 1957, Swirski (!); Yarkon, 38 spec., 5. VII. 1958,.

Common on an unidentified thorny composite in dry sunny places. — Holomediterranean.

### *Camptotylus* Fb.

*C. bipunctatus* (Rt.) — Palestine (BODENHEIMER op.cit.). — Probably Irano — Turanian. Originally described from Turkestan. The record from Israel seems to me somewhat uncertain.

*C. lineae* (Pt.) — Palestine (BODENHEIMER op.cit.). — Possibly Caspian. Recorded from South Russia, Caucasia, Turkey, Syria and Israel.

### *Harpocera* Curt.

*H. hellenica* Rt. — Palestine (BODENHEIMER op.cit.). A damaged specimen from Tivon, Sternlicht leg. (!), probably belongs to the species. It was found on *Quercus ithaburensis*. — Pontomediterranean.

### *Orthonotus* Steph.

*O. syriacus* (Pt.) — Palestine (Bodenheimer op.cit.); Galilea, several spec., Saalas (!). — Endemic.

### *Ectagela* Schm.

(*E. guttata* Schm.) — Jericho, Transjordanian, 6 spec., 15. IV. 1931, Bodenheimer (!). — Eremian. Previously recorded from Egypt, Syria and Iran.

The male genitalia: Right stylus (fig. 9 c) very small. Left stylus (fig. 9 b) with hypophysis thin, nearly semicircularly curved, apex slightly hooked; sensory lobe with a tooth-like process. Theca (fig. 9 d) robust. Vesica (fig. 8 h) rather short and straight, an unpaired appendage present subapically on the dorsal surface.

### *Tythus* Fb.

*T. parviceps* (Rt.) — Beersheba, 1 spec., 1. VIII. 1958,; Dan, 1 spec., 7. VII. 1958,; Deganya, 3 spec., 23. VII. 1958,; Ramath Gan, 3 spec., 15. IX. 1958, Fishelson (!); Revivim, 1 spec., 2. VIII. 1958,; Hadera, 1 spec., 1. VII. 1958,; Wadi Sukreir, 1 spec., 27. VI. 1958,.

On *Cyperus* species in wet biotopes. Also at lamps. — Intertropical. Recorded from northern and tropical Africa and from the Neotropical region.

### *Compsidolon* Rt.

Various opinions have been presented about the position of the genus. The present author (LINNAVUORI 1953, p. 109) regarded it as a synonym of *Psallus*, owing to the complete external similarity to the species of the subgenus *Coniortodes* of the genus. STICHEL (1958 a, p. 778 and 783 — 784), apparently on the basis of some publication unknown to me, regards it as a valid genus, also placing *Plagiognathus spilotus* (Fb.), save the generotype *C. elegantulum*, in the genus.

As pointed out above, externally the genus perfectly resembles certain *Conior-*