Type. — Lectotype, female, here designated, with labels: "Berkeley [Springs] W Va, 20-8, 91"; "PR Uhler Collection"; "Lygus repletus Uhler"; "Lygus repletus Uhler, Det. UHLER"; "Lectotype Lygus repletus Heidemann" (red label); "Lectotype 62622" (red label) (number assigned by R. I. Sailer).

## 10. Dichrooscytus elegans Heidemann 1892:225, new status (Dichroscytus [sic] elegans Uhl. MS)

Dichrooscytus elegans, Knight and McAtee 1929:19; Carvalho 1959:82 (in part).

Dichrooscytus elegans Uhler 1904:356 (in part); Heidemann 1905:49; Van Duzee 1905:552; Reuter 1909:38 (in part?); Banks 1910:43; Van Duzee 1916a:39; 1917:333 (in part); Parshley 1919:71; Knight 1923:597; Blatchley 1926:742 (in part); Knight 1928:129; Brimley 1938:77; Moore 1950:18.

Dichrooscytus tinctipennis Knight 1927a:15; Watson 1928:33; Knight 1941: 165; Froeschner 1949:179; Moore 1950:18; Carvalho 1959:84; Kelton 1972:1037; Akingbohungbe et al. 1972:4. [NEW SYNONYMY].

By noting the "dark-red color of the corium," Heidemann validated the name *elegans*. This validation was accepted by Knight and McAtee (1929) and by Carvalho (1959). However, Heidemann's *D. elegans* generally has been referred to as *D. elegans* Uhler or *D. tinctipennis* Knight.

Confusion arose when Uhler (1904) described *D. elegans* based on a single specimen collected at Las Vegas Hot Springs, N. M.<sup>1</sup> He believed that his species was identical to the one Heidemann reported breeding on red cedar in the Washington, D.C. vicinity. It is not clear whether Uhler had Heidemann's specimens before him when describing *elegans* and designated them as cotypes, or whether Heidemann later designated the Washington specimens as cotypes (Kelton and Schaffner, 1972). It seems obvious that Uhler believed Heidemann had not validated the manuscript name *elegans* and that now he was validating that

¹ Uhler (1904) indicated he had only 1 New Mexico specimen by stating: "one specimen was secured August 16." Kelton and Schaffner (1972) redescribed *D. elegans* based on a type specimen (δ) in poor condition (No. 6850, USNM Collection). However, we recently found a specimen (♀) in perfect condition in the USNM Type Collection bearing the type No. 6850 and the other data cited by Uhler.