

Fig. 3. Orthotylus putshkovi Josifov (ex from Zard): A .process of pygofer; B. right style; C. left style; D. vesica; E. basal process by vesica.

Fig. 4 A-K.

 $\label{thm:continuous} \textit{Type material examined}. \ \, \text{Turkmenistan: Fl. Tschu, } \sigma \ \text{holotype of } \textit{O. problematicus, J.Sahlberg, in coll.Linnavuori.}$

Discussion. Both species are closely related, but can be distinguished by the following characters:

In O. eleagni the hair covering on the upper surface is shorter and pale, the antennae are more slender with the 1st segment considerably shorter, 0.42-0.43 (σ) or 0.38 (φ) x as long as diatone, and the apical process of the right style being simple. In O. problematicus the hair covering on the upper surface is long and dark brown, the antennae are more incrassate with the 1st segment (σ)

0.60 x as long as diatone and the apical process of the right style is coarsely dentate.

Orthotylus (Melanotrichus) rudbaricus Linnavuori, 1997, = O. (Melanotrichus) turcmenorum Puchkov, 1976, syn.n.

Orthotylus (Melanotrichus) turcmenorum Puchkov 1976: 756.

Orthotylus (Melanotrichus) rudbaricus Linnavuori 1997 a: 307–309.

Type material of O. rudbaricus. Iran: Gilan, Tutkabon-Rudbar, male holotype, $4\,$ paratypes, 29.V-28.VI.1995; Manjil, σ paratype, 16.V-14.VI.1995, Linnavuori, in coll. Linnavuori.

Discussion. O. rudbaricus was described from