

collected and named as *apicalis* in my work mentioned above (*Rev. Brasil. Biol.*, 8 (2) : 191, 1948). The male genitalia were not dissected however and only by their study can a real comparison be established.

17. *Phytocoris irroratus* Blanchard, 1852. — One female and one male with the label Muséum Paris, Chili, Gay, 15/43. It is a characteristic species of *Phytocoris* Fallen, greenish yellow with posterior margin of pronotum irrorate with dark brown apex of cuneus and internal margin, veins of membrane, reddish; hemielytra irrorate (male), with fuscous cloudings (female). I have indicated the female as type and the male as paratype.

Types which are not to be found in the Paris Muséum.

18. *Phytocoris trigonalis* Spinola, 1852. — This species was revised by POPPIUS (*Ann. Mus. Nat. Hung.*, 18, 60, 1921) who erected for it the new genus *Dolichostenia* Poppius. He had probably access to the types since he writes : « Nach drei ♂♂ aus der SIGNORET' schen Sammlung beschrieben ».

19. *Phytocoris fasciolaris* Blanchard, 1852. — This species was also revised by POPPIUS (*Ann. Mus. Nat. Hung.*, 18:62, 1921) who by a lapsus calami treated it as « *fascicularis* ». SIGNORET (*Ann. Soc. ent. Fr.*, 3:573, 1863) placed it under *Globiceps* Lepeletier and Serville. POPPIUS mentioned in his paper : « Chili, drei ♂♂ aus der Signoret'schen Sammlung, wo sie unter *Phytocoris trigonalis* standen ». He also erected for it and for three other new species the new genus *Blanchardiella* Poppius, which is preoccupied by *Blanchardiella* Weber, 1914 (Vermees). To replace this genus I propose the name *Tuxenella* n. gen. which is dedicated to Dr. S. M. TUXEN from the Entomological Department of the Universitets Zoologiske Museum, Kobenhavn.

20. *Phytocoris marmaratus* Blanchard, 1852. — No specimens were found to correspond with the description of this species, but SIGNORET in his *Revision de Hémiptères du Chili*, had already placed it in the synonymy of *P. adpersus* Spinola, saying : « mais les deux espèces... sont bien identiques, ainsi que j'ai pu m'en convaincre par les types du Muséum ».

21. *Phytocoris antennatus* Blanchard, 1852. — The type is also missing and by the description of the antennae BLANCHARD probably had before him an abnormal specimen or mistook the second antennal segment for the third. SIGNORET says the following about it : « Il n'en existe qu'un seul exemplaire au Musée, et encore les antennes sont mutilées ».

22. *Cyllocoris jucundus* Signoret, 1863. — The type of this species described and figured by SIGNORET (pl. II, fig. 5) is missing. I have specimens collected in Chile. It belongs to the genus *Stenoparedra*